BACKGROUND/PURPOSE

1. The integrity of the research process is an essential aspect of a University's intellectual and social structure. Research is defined as all research, development, scholarly, and creative activity that supports the intellectual endeavors of the University. The term “research” encompasses all scholarly and creative activity regardless of its empirical nature or methods. Although incidents of misconduct in research may be rare, those that do occur threaten the entire research enterprise.

2. The integrity of the research process must depend largely upon self-regulation. Formalization of the rights and responsibilities underlying scientific method is imperative in the research process. The University is responsible both for promoting academic practices that prevent misconduct and for developing policies and procedures for dealing with allegations or other evidence of fraud or serious misconduct. All members of the University community—students, staff, faculty, and administrators—share responsibility for developing and maintaining standards to assure ethical conduct of research and detection of abuse of these standards. This policy applies to any person paid by, under the control of, or affiliated with the University, such as scientists, trainees, technicians, and other staff members, students, fellows, guest researchers, or collaborators. This policy is not intended to address all academic issues of an ethical nature. For example, discrimination and affirmative action are covered by other University
policies. This policy has been written to comply with the requirements of the Office of Research Integrity (ORI), Office of Public Health and Science (PHS), Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). These requirements are detailed in 42 C.F.R. Part 50, Subpart A, entitled "Responsibility of PHS Awardees and Applicant Institutions for Dealing With and Reporting Possible Misconduct in Science." Portions of this policy have been excerpted from the sample policy available at http://www.ori.dhhs.gov
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