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SECTION I - INTRODUCTION

A. Purpose

As an agency of the State of Texas, the Texas State University System (TSUS) is governed by the TSUS Board of Regents. TSUS contracting policy is established pursuant to Texas Education Code, § 51.9335, which grants authority to acquire goods or services to institutions of higher education. To exercise this authority, institutions of higher education must also comply with Texas Education Code § 51.9337, which requires governing boards to establish certain standards, and in particular, “a Contract Management handbook that provides consistent contracting policies and practices and contract review procedures, including a risk analysis procedure.” (§ 51.9337(b)(3)). In addition, governing boards must establish, by rule, a contract review checklist that must be reviewed and approved by the Office of General Counsel (§ 51.9337 (d)). See also Exhibit A Contract Review Checklist.

Accordingly, TSUS has developed this Contract Management Handbook (“Handbook” or “CMH”), the purpose of which is to comply with the above statutory requirements, and to coordinate with the TSUS Rules and Regulations and TSUS institutions’ operating policies and procedures.

Texas State University System

- http://www.tsus.edu/about.html
- http://www.tsus.edu/about/policies.html

Texas Statutes


Lamar Institute of Technology Operating Policies


Lamar State College – Orange Operating Policies

- http://www.lsco.edu/hr/AdministrativePoliciesProcedures.pdf

Lamar State College – Port Arthur Operating Policies


Lamar University Operating Policies


Sam Houston State University Operating Policies

- http://www.shsu.edu/intranet/policies/index.html

Sul Ross State University Operating Policies

B. Order of Control

In the event there is a conflict with language of this Handbook, applicable federal or state statutes and regulations shall prevail, followed by TSUS Rules and Regulations, and then institutional operating policies and procedures.


C. Contract Management

Contract Management is the coordination and management of five core processes:

- Planning – Section IV;
- Procurement – Section IV F, V, VI, and VII;
- Contract formation/cost or revenue rate establishment and other terms and conditions – Section VIII;
- Contract Administration – Section IX; and
- Contract Closeout – Section X.

Contract management for the TSUS is governed by Texas law, TSUS Rules and Regulations, and institutional operating policies and procedures. Various types of contracts may be subject to different statutory standards, practices, processes, and strategies for successful implementation.

D. Contract Management Handbook

This Handbook is intended to serve as a general guideline for the contract management process and to establish best practice recommendations for the System. In accordance with Texas Government Code § 2261.256 (b) this Handbook is also intended to be consistent with the State of Texas Comptroller’s Contract Management Guide.

This Handbook does not apply to every type of contract. The nature and level of risk associated with each step in the process varies depending on factors including: dollar amount, type of contract, funding source, the contractor, and other factors. The purpose of the Handbook is to provide consistency in the contracting process.

This Handbook will assist institutional personnel involved in contract management. It is not possible to address all issues that may arise during contract management. For complex or unusual contracts, personnel should seek specific assistance from the TSUS Office of General Counsel and the TSUS Office of Finance (and others depending on the circumstances) as early as possible in the contract management process.

While this Handbook was developed to establish consistent practices for the System, each institution may adopt operating policies and procedures to address specific institutional needs. The exhibits in
this Handbook describe policies and procedures applicable to a particular institution and are intended as a guide.

In general, this Handbook:

1. Provides best practices for contract management.
2. Describes the duties of a Contract Manager and Contract Administrator, including guidance to negotiate a contract, award a contract, and monitor contractor and subcontractor performance and compliance with contract terms and conditions.
3. Supplements, but does not replace, existing statutory requirements, TSUS Rules and Regulations, or institutional operating policies and procedures. Each institution is independently responsible for using sound business practices in accordance with applicable federal and state laws and regulations, and TSUS Rules and Regulations.
4. Is not intended to be a manual on contract law or constitute legal advice. Where legal principles are discussed, these are general principles only, which may have exceptions. Always consult the TSUS Office of General Counsel for legal advice concerning contracts.
5. Includes model contract provisions, distinguishing between essential, recommended and optional contract provisions.
6. Summarizes the requirements of the TSUS Rules and Regulations for contract approval.

TSUS reserves the right to interpret, change, modify, amend, or rescind this Handbook, in whole or in part, at any time.

This handbook, as well as any institutional operating policies, shall be posted on each components’ internet website.

E. Training

Institution officers and employees authorized to execute contracts for the institution or to exercise discretion in awarding contracts, are required to receive training, continuing education, and certification. This requirement includes training on the selection of an appropriate procurement method by project type and training conducted by the Department of Information Resources on procurement of technology goods or services. The training also includes required ethics training. (Texas Education Code §51.9337(b)(5) and Texas Government Code § 2155.078 (a), (a-1) and (b)).

All institutional purchasers and contract management personnel, including agencies exempted from the procurement authority of the Comptroller, must receive the training to the extent required by rule of the Comptroller prior to an employee or official performing any duties assigned to a Contract Administrator or Contract Manager.

Training is available through the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts (Comptroller) or equivalent training from a national association recognized by the Comptroller.

F. Professional Certification

Contract management and procurement personnel shall be trained and certified to the extent required by state law and the administrative rules of the Comptroller. The Comptroller offers three certifications: Certified Texas Purchaser (CTP), Certified Texas Purchasing Manager (CTPM), and Certified Texas Contract Manager (CTCM).

Additional information can be found at https://www.comptroller.texas.gov/purchasing/training

G. Definitions
(Institutions should utilize consistent terms in operating policies and procedures, forms, and training materials.)

**Addendum:** A written change, addition, alteration, correction, or revision to a solicitation document or a contract.

**Amendment:** An agreed addition to, deletion from, correction, or modification of a contract signed by all authorized parties. An amendment may include a renewal or extension of a contract.

**Assignment:** An authorized legal transfer of contractual rights from one party to another party.

**Auxiliary Enterprise:** A business activity that is conducted at a state agency, providing a service to the agency and is not paid for with appropriated money (Texas Government Code § 2252.061 (1)).

**Best Value:** Factors to be considered in determining best overall value for the TSUS and its institutions in accordance with Texas Education Code §51.9335 (b).

**Bid:** An offer to contract submitted in response to an Invitation for Bid. The term “bid” may also be referred to as a “response”.

**Bid Bond:** An insurance agreement, accompanied by a monetary commitment, by which a third party accepts liability and guarantees that a bidder will not withdraw a bid during the Invitation for Bid process. A bid bond may also be required in a Request for Proposal process.

**Bid Opening:** The official process in which sealed bids are opened, usually in the presence of one or more witnesses, at the time and place specified in the Invitation for Bid.

**Bid Tabulation:** A document used to record the responses received from bidders in the Invitation for Bid process.

**Bidder:** An individual or contractor who submits a response to an Invitation for Bid. The term includes anyone authorized to act on behalf of the individual or other entity that submits a bid, such as agents, employees, and representatives. See also “Respondent” below.

**Change Order:** A written alteration that is issued to modify or amend a purchase order.

**CMH:** Contract Management Handbook.

**Component:** See “Institution” below.

**Comptroller:** The Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts.

**Conflict of Interest:** A conflict of interest refers to a situation in which an employee’s financial, professional, or other personal considerations may directly or indirectly affect, or have the appearance of affecting, the employee’s judgment in exercising any duty or responsibility, including the conduct or reporting of research, owed to the institution.

**Construction Project:** Major Construction Project (total project budget $4,000,000 or more) and minor Construction Project (total project budget less than $4,000,000), TSUS Rules and Regulations.

**Consultant:** An individual or firm that provides consulting services to an institution and does not involve the traditional relationship of employer and employee (Texas Government Code § 2254.021).
Consulting Services: The services of studying and advising an institution per Texas Government Code § 2254.021.

Contract: A legally binding written agreement executed between the System or an institution and a third party in which the parties agree to perform in accordance with the obligations therein. Contracts include, but are not limited to: letter agreements, co-operative agreements, memorandums of understanding (MOU), interagency contracts, interlocal contracts, easements, licenses, leases, and purchase orders.

Contract Administration: Following the award of a contract, the administrative actions to oversee full compliance with all of the terms and conditions contained within the contract.

Contract Administrator: The Contract Administrator is the department-level individual responsible for adherence to all provisions contained within a contract and for managing the performance of a contract.

Contract Close-out: The process conducted at the completion of the contract during which the Contract Administrator confirms and documents compliance with the terms and conditions of the contract, that final deliverables are received, and outstanding payments are made.

Contract Management: The complete contracting process from planning through contract administration and concluding with contract close-out.

Contract Manager: An individual who is: 1) employed by an institution in the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office and 2) is responsible for coordinating the processes required for effective Contract Management. For construction project contracts, the Contract Manager will be an individual within the institution charged with the duty to oversee the construction project. The Contract Manager will provide guidance to Contract Administrators.

Contract/Evaluation Review Team: A team comprised of such members as the Contract Manager, the Contract Administrator, and as needed, cross-functional members such as: subject matter experts, information technology, risk management, legal, and price/cost analysts. The size and the members of the team are dependent on the nature and complexity of the project.

Contracting Office: The office designated by the TSUS or institution to review contracts for compliance, and where appropriate, seek additional review and approval of the Office of General Counsel for compliance with applicable statutes, the TSUS Rules and Regulations, and institutional operating policies and procedures. See also “Procurement / Purchasing Office” below.

Contractor: An entity or individual that has a contract to provide goods or services to the TSUS or any institution. For the purposes of this Handbook, “Contractor” is used interchangeably with the term “Vendor”.

Cooperative Agreement: A cooperative arrangement in which several government agencies agree to aggregate demand in order to contract for best value. The cooperative conducts the procurement process and provides general contract oversight. An institution is allowed to utilize the competitively procured contracts in accordance with Texas Education Code § 51.9335 and any institutional operating policies and procedures.

Deliverable: A unit or increment of work required by the contract, including such items as goods, services, reports, or documentation.

Electronic State Business Daily (ESBD): The electronic marketplace maintained by the Comptroller, where bid opportunities over $25,000 are posted.
**Emergency Purchase:** Emergencies occur as the result of unforeseeable circumstances and may require an immediate response to avert an actual or potential public threat. If a situation arises in which compliance with normal procurement practice is impracticable or contrary to the public interest, an Emergency Purchase may be warranted to prevent a hazard to life, health, safety, welfare, or property or to avoid undue additional cost to the institution.

**Exempt Purchase:** Certain goods or services that are exempt from the competitive procurement rules, either by statute or if the exemption is in the best interest of the public.

**Goods:** Supplies, materials, or equipment as defined in Texas Government Code § 2155.001. Goods do not include services or real property.

**Handbook:** This TSUS Contract Management Handbook.

**Historically Underutilized Business (HUB):** A for-profit entity with its principal place of business in the State of Texas that is at least 51% owned by a Black American, Hispanic American, Woman, Asian Pacific American, Native American or Disabled Veteran as defined in Texas Government Code § 2161.001. See also Comptroller HUB website.

**Independent Contractor:** An individual or company who contracts to perform work for an institution according to his or her own processes and methods. The contractor is not subject to another’s control except for what is specified in a mutually binding agreement.

**Institution(s):** Components of the Texas State University System (TSUS) including: Texas State University System Admistration, Lamar Institute of Technology, Lamar State College – Orange, Lamar State College – Port Arthur, Lamar University, Sam Houston State University, Sul Ross State University, Sul Ross State University – Rio Grande College, Texas State University.

**Institutional:** References to “institutional” also pertain or refer to each institution.

**Interagency Contract:** A contract between one or more agencies of the State of Texas as defined by Texas Government Code § 771.002.

**Interlocal Contract:** A contract between one or more agencies of the State of Texas and local government as defined by Texas Government Code § 791.003.

**Invitation for Bids (IFB):** A procurement process used when the requirements are clearly defined, negotiations are not necessary, and price is the major determining factor for selection.

**Liquidated Damages:** A specified contract provision which entitles an institution to demand a set monetary amount determined to be reasonable estimate of damages an institution will incur due to contractor’s failure to fulfill contract requirements.

**Major Construction Project:** a Construction Project with a budget $4,000,000 or more. See TSUS Rules and Regulations.

**Minor Construction Project:** a Construction Project with a budget less than $4,000,000. See TSUS Rules and Regulations.

**Major Consulting Services Contract:** A consulting services contract for which it is reasonably foreseeable that the value of the contract will exceed $25,000, and modifications that increase the consideration. Texas Government Code § 2254.021.
Minor Consulting Services Contract: A consulting services contract that is not a Major Consulting Services Contract.

Negotiation(s): Conferring, discussing, or bargaining to reach a mutual agreement between two or more parties.

Office of General Counsel: The TSUS Office of General Counsel.

Opening Date: The date and time, after submission of proposals, when sealed proposal responses are opened.

Operating Policies and Procedures: The manuals maintained by each institution to standardize and provide a consistent and coherent method of defining institutional policies.

Originating Department: The department from which a solicitation or procurement is originated.


Payment Bond: A bond solely for the protection and use of Payment Bond beneficiaries who have a direct contractual relationship with the prime contractor or a subcontractor to supply labor or materials in fulfillment of contractual obligations.

Performance Bond: An instrument executed, subsequent to award, by a successful proposer, bidder, or respondent that protects an institution from loss due to the contractor’s inability to complete the contract as agreed.

Procurement (Procure): Purchasing, renting, leasing, or otherwise acquiring any goods and services, including all functions that pertain to the acquisition through contract close-out.

Professional Services: Services directly related to professional practices as defined by the Professional Services Procurement Act (Texas Government Code § 2254.002). These include services within the scope of the practice of: accounting, architecture, land surveying, medicine, optometry, professional engineering, real estate appraising, or professional nursing. Services provided by professionals outside the scope of their profession (e.g., consulting services provided by accounting firms) are not considered professional services.

Project: See “Construction Project”.

Proposal: A response to a Request for Proposals (RFP) intended to be used as a basis to negotiate a contract award.

Proposal Opening: The public opening of proposals, in which the names of the respondents to a solicitation are publicly read and recorded. No prices are divulged at a proposal opening as these types of solicitations are subject to negotiations.

Proposer: An entity submitting a Proposal Response to a Request for Proposal. The term includes anyone authorized to act on behalf of the individual or other entity that submits a proposal, such as agents, employees, and representatives. See also “Bidder” and “Respondent.”

Proprietary Purchase: A good or service produced or marketed by a contractor having the exclusive right to manufacture or sell it. See Texas Government Code § 2155.067 and the Comptroller’s Procurement Manual for more information.
Public Works Contract: A contract for constructing, altering, or repairing a public building or carrying out or completing any public work. A governmental entity, including a public institution of higher education, is authorized by State of Texas law to make a Public Works Contract.

Purchase Order: A legal document issued to a contractor which formalizes the terms and conditions of any purchase of goods or services.

Procurement/Purchasing Office: The office designated by the institution to purchase goods or services and oversee compliance with federal, state, and institutional procurement operating policies and procedures. For purposes of this Handbook, the phrase “Procurement/Purchasing Office” also encompasses “Contracting Office.”

Procurement/Purchasing Office Personnel: Includes the Chief Procurement Officer, the Contract Manager, and other employees who report to those positions and are involved in contract management.

Qualification: A Response to a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) intended to be used as a basis to qualify a contractor for a solicitation.

Quote: A document setting forth an offer to sell goods or services at a certain price under specified conditions.

Renewal: The process where an existing contract is renewed for an additional time period in accordance with the terms and conditions of the original contract.

Request for Information (RFI): A general invitation requesting information for a potential future solicitation. The RFI is typically used as a research and information gathering tool for preparation of a solicitation.

Request for Proposal (RFP): A solicitation requesting submittal of a proposal in response to the required specifications and which usually includes some form of a cost proposal. The RFP process allows for negotiations between a proposer and the issuing institution.

Request for Qualifications (RFQ): A solicitation document requesting submittal of qualifications in response to the scope of services required.

Request for Quote (Quote): A solicitation requesting pricing on informal purchases as determined by each institution’s Chief Procurement Officer.

Response: A submission to System Administration or a TSUS institution from a potential contractor in response to a solicitation.

Responsible: A respondent who is fully capable to meet all of the financial and technical requirements of a solicitation and any subsequent contract.

Responsive: The respondent has complied with all material aspects of the solicitation, including submission of all required documents in accordance with the specifications.

Respondent: An individual or entity submitting a response to a solicitation.

Scope of Work (or “SOW”): A detailed, written description of the conceptual requirements contained within the specifications.

Scoring Matrix: A chart used to document the evaluation criteria of a response.
Service(s): The furnishing of labor, time, and effort by a contractor or auxiliary enterprise, including for a Construction Project, which may involve to a lesser degree, the delivery or supply of products.

Solicitation: A method or process used to obtain responses for the purpose of gathering information or entering into a contract.

Solicitation Conference: A meeting chaired by the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office which is designed to help potential respondents understand the requirements of a solicitation. May also be known as a Pre-Bid Conference, Pre-Solicitation Conference, or Pre-Proposal Conference.

Specification(s): Description of the requirements for goods or services including the Scope of Work, to be fulfilled by a contractor.

State: The State of Texas.

Statute: A law enacted by a legislature.

Subcontractor: An individual or business entity retained by a contractor to perform part of a contractor’s duties under a contract.

System: The Texas State University System and its component institutions.

System Administration or System Office: The administrative office for the TSUS.

TPASS Contract: Contract that is competitively procured and awarded by the Comptroller.

TSUS Rules and Regulations: Rules established by the TSUS Board of Regents that govern all TSUS institutions. [http://www.tsus.edu/about/policies.html](http://www.tsus.edu/about/policies.html)

Vendor: An individual or business or governmental entity that has a contract to provide goods or services to the State of Texas. Used interchangeably with the term “Contractor.”

Vendor Debarment: The designation status of any vendor who is debarred from conducting business with the TSUS or its institutions by either the Comptroller or the federal government. Debarment protects the State of Texas from risks associated with awarding contracts to vendors who have exhibited an inability or unwillingness to fulfill contractual requirements or who have displayed improper conduct. Debarment may include a vendor’s successors-in-interest. Debarment does not relieve the vendor from responsibility for fulfilling existing obligations.

Vendor Performance Form: A form prepared by the Contract Administrator that reports satisfactory and unsatisfactory performance by a contractor.

The National Institute of Governmental Purchasing: Public Procurement Dictionary of Terms (April 2012) was used as a reference for certain definitions used in this Handbook.

H. Institutional Procurement and Contracting Policies

Each institution shall develop and maintain operating policies and procedures to guide procurement and contract management processes. The following provides a reference to applicable operating policies for each institution.
Lamar Institute of Technology


Lamar State College – Orange


Lamar State College – Port Arthur


Lamar University

- http://facultystaff.lamar.edu/financial-matters/purchasing.html

Sam Houston State University

- http://www.shsu.edu/intranet/policies/finop/procurement_business_services/

Sul Ross State University

- http://www.sulross.edu/sites/default/files//sites/default/files/users/docs/president/chapter_3.02_ purchasing_policy_0.pdf

Sul Ross State University – Rio Grande College

- http://www.sulross.edu/sites/default/files//sites/default/files/users/docs/president/chapter_3.02_ purchasing_policy_0.pdf

Texas State University

- http://www.txstate.edu/effective/UPPS/
SECTION II – ETHICAL STANDARDS AND POLICIES

A. General

It is important that the people of Texas have complete confidence in the integrity of public servants. This need is especially critical in the area of state-supported higher education. The responsibility for educating and training the future leaders of the state and nation carries with it the duty to adhere to the highest ethical standards and principles. Texas Education Code §51.9337(b) requires the Board of Regents to establish a code of ethics for institutional officers and employees, including provisions governing authority to execute contracts or exercise discretion in awarding contracts. The TSUS Code of Ethics is articulated in Chapter VIII, Paragraph 4 of the TSUS Rules and Regulations. For further information, refer to each institution’s Ethical Standards Policies and Procedures (listed below).

It is the policy of the State of Texas that a state officer or state employee may not have a direct or indirect interest, including financial and other interests, or engage in a business transaction or professional activity, or incur any obligation of any nature that is in substantial conflict with the proper discharge of the officer’s or employee’s duties in the public interest per Texas Government Code 572.001.

TSUS personnel involved with procurement and contract management must adhere to the highest level of professionalism in discharging their official duties. The nature of procurement and contracting functions makes it critical that everyone in the process remain independent and free from the perception of impropriety. Any erosion of public trust or any shadow of impropriety is detrimental to the integrity of the process. Consequently, the credibility of a procurement and contracting program requires that a clear set of guidelines and rules be established. Such guidelines and rules are designed to prevent actual and potential contractors from influencing employees or officials in discharging their official duties. Furthermore, these guidelines and rules will help prevent the TSUS employees’ or officials’ independent judgment from being compromised.

For federal awards, the institution must disclose in writing any potential conflict of interest to the federal awarding agency or pass-through entity in accordance with the awarding agency’s policy. §200.112 of 2 CFR, Part 200 – Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards.

With these principles in mind and in accordance with state and federal law, the following policies and procedures are to be adhered to by all TSUS employees, officials, contractors, and potential contractors.

B. Conflicts – Rules and Policies

Institutions shall have policies governing conflicts of interest, conflicts of commitment, and outside activities ensuring that the primary responsibility of officers and employees is to accomplish the duties and responsibilities assigned to that position. Texas Education Code § 51.9337(c)(2).

Following are links to the TSUS Rules and Regulations and institutional operating policies and procedures related to conflict of interest/commitment policies and ethical standards:

Texas State University System

• TSUS Rules and Regulations (see Chapter VIII, Ethics Policy)

Lamar Institute of Technology
C. Ethics Training

See I. Introduction, Section E - Training and Section F – Certification.

D. Standards of Conduct of Officers and Employees

As state officers or employees, each institutional officer or employee is expected to comply with all federal, state, and local laws and is subject to disciplinary action for a violation of those laws. Texas Education Code §51.9337 (c)(1). A violation by an officer or employee may subject the individual to disciplinary action and any applicable civil or criminal penalty if the violation constitutes a violation of another statute or rule.

In accordance with Texas Government Code Chapter 572, part C, Standards of Conduct and Conflict of Interest Provisions, §572.051, a state officer or employee shall not:
1) Accept or solicit any gift, favor, or service that might reasonably tend to influence the officer or employee in the discharge of official duties or that the officer or employee knows or should know is being offered with the intent to influence the officer’s or employee’s official conduct;

2) Accept employment or engage in a business or professional activity that the officer or employee might reasonably expect would require or induce the officer or employee to disclose confidential information acquired by reason of the officer’s or employee's position;

3) Accept other employment or compensation that could reasonably be expected to impair the officer’s or employee’s independence of judgment in the performance of the officer’s or employee’s official duties;

4) Make personal investments that could reasonably be expected to create a substantial conflict between the officer’s or employee’s private interest and the institution’s interest;

5) Intentionally or knowingly solicit, accept, or agree to accept any benefit for having exercised the officer’s or employee’s official powers or performed the officer’s or employee’s official duties in favor of another.

See TSUS Rules and Regulations, Chapter VIII, Paragraph 4.1 and 4.2, for additional guidelines.

E. Disclosure of Potential Conflicts by Institution Officials and Employees;

Prohibited Contracts

1) Institutional procurement of goods or services is subject to Texas Government Code, Chapter 2261 - State Contracting Standards and Oversight, and applies to related contracts and contract management activities.

Institutional employees and officials involved in procurement or in contract management for the institution must disclose to the institution, as required by state law, TSUS Rules and Regulations or institutional operating policies and procedures or other applicable policies, any potential conflict of interest that is known by the employee or official with respect to any contract or purchase of goods or services from a contractor by the institution. Texas Government Code § 2261.252 (a) – Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest; Certain Contracts Prohibited.

2) Each institution shall evaluate any disclosed potential conflict of interest when contracting for goods or services. Under § 2261.252(b), if any of the following institutional employees or officials or certain family members has a financial interest in a private vendor, the institution is unable to enter into a contract for the purchase of goods or services with the person:

   (a) A member of the agency's governing body (i.e., the TSUS Board of Regents);
   (b) The governing official, executive director (i.e., the Chancellor, institutional Presidents, General Counsel, Chief Procurement Officer, or Procurement Director of the institution); or
   (c) A family member related to an employee or official described by (1) or (2) within the second degree by affinity or consanguinity.

There is a financial interest under Texas Government Code § 2261.252 (c), if the employee or official:

   (a) Owns or controls, directly or indirectly, an ownership interest of at least one percent in the person, including the right to share in profits, proceeds, or capital gains; or
   (b) Could reasonably foresee that a contract with the person could result in a financial benefit to the employee or official.

Reference – Disclosure of Potential Conflicts by Institution Officials or Employees
F. Conflict of Interest: Disclosure, Representations and Warranties by Potential Contractors and Respondents; Employment of Current and Former Institution Employees

1. To avoid conflicts of interest, institutions shall request all potential contractors and respondents to disclose, in their response to solicitations and during the term of any resulting contract, any actual or potential conflicts of interest in their proposed provision of goods or services or other performance under any contracts resulting from the solicitations.

   In accordance with Texas Government Code 2252.908 and the TSUS Rules and Regulations, Chapter VIII, Paragraph 12, an institution may not enter into a contract with a business entity that requires an action or vote by the Board or that has a value of at least $1 million, unless the business entity submits a Disclosure of Interested Parties at the time the business entity submits the signed contract to the institution. The Board will not approve any contract that is not accompanied by such disclosure statement and a representation by the component that such statement was timely submitted to the Texas Ethics Commission as required by law.

   (a) This provision does not apply to a sponsored research contract, an interagency contract, or a contract related to health and human services if the value of the contract cannot be determined at the time the contract is executed and any qualified vendor is eligible for the contract.

   (b) The disclosure of interested parties must be submitted on a form prescribed by the Texas Ethics Commission and include a list of each interested party for the contract of which the contracting business entity is aware and the signature of the authorized agent of the contracting business entity acknowledging that the disclosure is made under oath and under penalty of perjury.

   The component shall submit a copy of the disclosure to the Texas Ethics Commission not later than the 30th day following the date the component receives a Disclosure of Interested Parties. Further information and a link to the Disclosure of Interested Parties form can be found on the contracts checklist included in Section V, G-7.

   Potential contractors and respondents shall submit a Disclosure of Interested Parties certificate on the form prescribed by the Texas Ethics Commission, and institutions shall acknowledge receipt of the certificate, both of which shall be accomplished through the website available at: https://www.ethics.state.tx.us/whatsnew/elf_info_form1295.htm

   An institution shall not enter into a contract that requires TSUS Board approval prior to execution or has a value of at least $1 million without the requisite disclosures by potential contractors or bidders. Texas Government Code § 2252.908. This requirement does not apply to the following contracts:

   a) where the governing body has properly delegated authority to execute the contract and the governing body does not participate in selecting the contractor (Texas Ethics Commission, Chapter 46);
b) a sponsored research contract of an institution; an interagency contract of an institution; or a contract related to health and human services if the value cannot be determined at time of execution and any qualified contractor is eligible for the contract (Texas Government Code §2252.908 (c)).

2. In responses, potential contractors and respondents are required to:

(a) Represent and warrant that contractor’s or respondent’s provision of services or other performance under the contract will not constitute an actual or potential conflict of interest and represent and warrant that it will not reasonably create even the appearance of impropriety.

(b) Disclose any current or former employees who are current or former employees of the institution.

(c) Disclose any proposed personnel who are, or are related to, current or former employees of the institution.

(d) Represent and warrant that contractor or respondent has not given and will not give, at any time hereafter, any economic opportunity, future employment, gift, loan, gratuity, special discount, trip, favor or service to a public servant or employee or representative of the institution in connection with the solicitation or any resulting contract.

(e) Verify that it or any of its principals (including, but not limited to, an owner, proprietor, sole or majority shareholder, director, president, or managing partner) are not debarred, suspended, or otherwise excluded from doing business with the TSUS. Institutions may also verify that an entity or principals are not debarred, suspended or otherwise excluded to confirm that no contracts are awarded, extended or renewed.

G. Contracts with Former or Retired Employees

The following restrictions also apply to potential contractors and respondents:

1) Texas Government Code § 2252.901, CONTRACTS WITH FORMER OR RETIRED AGENCY EMPLOYEES. An institution may not enter into an employment contract, a professional services contract, or consulting services contract with a former or retired employee before the first anniversary of the last date on which the individual was employed by the institution if appropriated funds are used to make payments under the contract. Such contracts may be made with an employer of a former or retired employee within one year if the individual does not perform services on procurements or projects on which the individual worked while employed by the institution.

2) Texas Government Code § 2254.033, RESTRICTION ON FORMER EMPLOYEES OF A STATE AGENCY. An individual who offers to provide consulting services to an institution and who was employed by the institution or another state agency any time during the prior two years shall disclose in the offer the nature or previous employment, the date employment terminated, and annual compensation at the time of termination.

3) Texas Government Code §572.069, CERTAIN EMPLOYMENT FOR FORMER STATE OFFICER OR EMPLOYEE RESTRICTED. A former state officer or employee of a state agency who during the period of state service or employment participated on behalf of a state agency in a procurement or contract negotiation involving a person may not accept employment from that person before the second anniversary of the date the officer's or employee's service or employment with the state agency ceased.
H. Subcontractors or Assignees

Contractors are not allowed to assign their duties and obligations under a contract to others such as subcontractors or assignees except as expressly provided in the contract with institutional consent and subcontractors or assignees are subject to the same disclosure requirements and restrictions set forth in this section. Contractors remain responsible for the disclosure requirements and performance of the contract notwithstanding any such assignment or subcontract. This ensures that the evaluated and selected individual or entity will actually be responsible for performance and that proposed transactions may be reviewed for compliance with the Conflict of Interest and related party provisions. (Texas Comptroller's Contract Management Guide)
Effective contract management techniques, coupled with the contractor’s own quality assurance program, will help to ensure that standards for acceptable performance are met. It is essential for a contract manager to manage the overall procurement and contract process and have the ability to communicate with all parties involved. The five steps of the contract management process are:

**Planning** - Identify objectives and contracting strategy for procurement.  
**Procurement** – Purchasing, renting, leasing or otherwise acquiring goods or services including all functions that pertain to the acquisition such as Planning, Solicitation, Award, Contract Formation, Contract Administration. (Section I. G. Definitions.)

- **Preparing the Solicitation**  
- **Advertising the Solicitation**  
- **Evaluation and Award**

**Contract Formation** – Ensure the contract contains provisions that hold the contractor accountable to the specifications and all state and federal required terms and conditions. **CMH Section VIII**

**Post-award Contract Administration** – Following the award of a contract, the management actions that must be taken for oversight to have full compliance with all of the terms and conditions contained within a contract. **CMH Section IX**

**Contract Closeout** – Perform final review of the received goods or services, confirm the receipt of all deliverables, prepare the contract close-out form, and finalize any payments. **CMH Section X**

**Public Information/Open Records** – Provides the public the right to request access to government information. **CMH Section XI**

The level of risk associated with each of these processes varies depending on the type of business relationship and the nature and scope of goods or services obtained. For example, a Contract for Professional Services may present more risk than a small dollar procurement of goods.
The first step in the contract management process is planning. Planning is crucial to the successful outcome of any procurement or contract. Planning assists an institution in determining the need for goods or services, preparing the specifications and budget, choosing the appropriate solicitation type, negotiating and drafting a contract, and evaluating the necessary level of contractor monitoring and oversight. Proper planning reduces risk in the procurement process. During the planning phase, each of the following elements must be considered:

A. **General Planning**

General planning includes identifying a Contract/Evaluation Review Team, assessing risk, developing a communication plan, determining the solicitation method and content of the procurement, and determining any financial obligation.

As defined by an institution’s operating policies and procedures, a Contract Manager, a Contract Administrator, and program personnel may assist in the contract management process. The level of participation should be directly related to the level of risk and complexity associated with the procurement or contract. Institutional Procurement/Purchasing Offices and Contracting Offices will review contracts for compliance with institutional operating policies.

The institutional originating department shall submit a request to issue a solicitation to the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office. The originating department will provide input as needed to develop the Scope of Work and serve as the subject matter expert for the procurement. The originating department will assign a Contract Administrator to oversee the contract, confirm the goods or services are received, and payment is made in accordance with the contract.

Depending on the complexity of the solicitation and the level of risk, the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office personnel will consult with the Office of General Counsel, the Chief Financial Officer, the Chief Information Officer, risk management, accounting services, and other institutional departments to obtain input about compliance with state laws and regulations, institutional operating policies and procedures, and the contract.

B. **Accountability and Risk Analysis Procedure**

As an aspect of governance, accountability has been central to discussions related to problems in the public sector, nonprofit, and private worlds. Accountability is the principle that employees who accept an assignment and the authority to carry it out are answerable to a superior or higher authority for the outcome. In public higher education procurement, employees are not only answerable to a higher authority, but also to grantees, taxpayers, and donors.

Risk analysis can be the management of factors that create the possibility of loss or injury, waste, fraud, or abuse in the performance of a contract; includes all activities necessary to identify, analyze, plan, track, or control risk management activities.

Each institution must develop and comply with a procurement accountability and risk analysis procedure. Texas Government Code, § 2261.256 (a). The procedure must address:

1. Assessing the risk of fraud, abuse, or waste in the contractor selection process, contract provisions, and payment and reimbursement rates and methods for the different types of goods or services for which the institution enters into contracts.
2. Identifying contracts that require enhanced contract monitoring or the immediate attention of Contract Administrator or Contract Administration Team and personnel.
a. All contracts with an annual value in excess of $1 million require enhanced contract monitoring. Enhanced contract monitoring is required throughout the life of the contract and may be reasonable to continue for a period after the termination of the contract.

b. Each institution may establish criteria to require enhanced contract monitoring for contracts with an annual value less than $1 million.

3. Establishing clear levels of purchasing and contracting accountability and related personnel responsibilities.

The institution shall post on the institution’s internet website the procedures described in paragraphs 2 and 3 above and submit to the Comptroller a link to the web page that includes the procedures. Texas Government Code, § 2261.256 (c).

C. Risk Assessment (Texas Comptroller’s Contract Management Guide)

Risks are inherent in all stages of the procurement process. Institutions will identify risk factors to focus monitoring resources on contractors with the highest risk of noncompliance with contract terms and on contracts that pose the greatest risk to the institution. Risk factors are indicators that assess the risk of the contract or project objectives not being achieved. Risk assessment is a dynamic process that should be updated to reflect the results of monitoring, reviews of payment vouchers, desk reviews, etc. For example, if the contractor has fallen significantly behind schedule in delivering goods or services, the risk assessment should be updated to indicate the elevated risk, which impacts how the contract is monitored in the future.

Reference – General Planning


During negotiations of a contract and prior to execution, a preliminary risk assessment should be conducted to make an initial determination about the level, type, and amount of management, oversight, and resources required to plan and implement the contract from beginning to end. As the risk associated with a contract increases, the level and degree of contract manager and contract administrator participation and oversight should be increased.

Risk assessments for each contract should be documented and retained. The documentation should evidence the factors considered, mitigating controls that might reduce the identified risk(s), and alternative strategies should the level of risk be greater than management is willing to assume.

D. Risk Management (Texas Comptroller’s Contract Management Guide)

Risk management follows risk assessment and includes identifying specific risks of a procurement or contract and seeking to minimize the risks to the TSUS or institutions through contract terminology, insuring the risk, managing the risk at an appropriate level, or risk avoidance.

There is not an objective or mathematical formula that can be used to identify or quantify the risk imposed by a particular contract. Risk determination is based on both objective and subjective experience.

Risk management activities should be included in the formal risk assessment documentation.

E. Communications Plan (Texas Comptroller’s Contract Management Guide)
Institutions need to manage both internal and external communications. After identifying internal and external stakeholders (executive management, oversight entities, etc.), the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office will provide guidance to communicate to all stakeholders regarding how communications will be delivered and acceptable content. Institutions should focus on centralized communication throughout the contract term utilizing the Contract Manager or the Contract Administrator, particularly for any Scope of Work communication. Individuals involved in any procurement process shall sign a non-disclosure form.

F. Determining the Procurement Method (Texas Comptroller's Contract Management Guide)

If a procurement of goods or services requires a solicitation, the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office shall determine the appropriate method of solicitation. (See next section regarding Exempt Purchases.)

The Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office may request the Originating department to provide sufficient information for the Contract/Evaluation Review Team to develop the Scope of Work.
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1. Invitation for Bids (IFB) - The IFB uses the competitive sealed bid method. This method is used when requirements are clearly defined, negotiations are not necessary and price is the major determining factor for selection.

2. Request for Information (RFI) - Requests for Information are used primarily as a planning tool. The RFI is an optional method that may be used to gather information when an institution does not have the necessary information to prepare a complete and accurate solicitation document. RFIs are used to identify industry standards, best practices, potential performance measures, or to generally ascertain the level of interest of prospective respondents. A preliminary solicitation document which provides an initial description of the program objectives and scope of work usually accompanies the RFI for review by potential respondents. Institutions may use, but are not required to incorporate, information contained in a response into an RFI.

3. Request for Offer (RFO) – Generally used for IT Commodity Purchases exempt from the DIR IT Commodity Program. The process is generally the same as the RFP process. Request for Offer purchases include the purchase of automated information systems and are covered under Texas Administrative Code, Title 34 and Section 20.391.

4. Request for Proposal (RFP) – Used when competitive sealed bidding is not practicable or advantageous, such as when factors other than price are to be considered or when objective criteria cannot be defined. One of the key differences between an IFB and an RFP is that negotiations are allowed in an RFP. Discussions are allowed with proposers and best and final offers are solicited.

5. Request for Qualifications (RFQ) – Generally used to select a provider of professional services under the Texas Government Code, Chapter 2254, Professional Services Procurement Act. Selection and award to respondents is based solely on demonstrated competence to perform the services, and for a fair and reasonable price. Under § 2254.003, price is negotiated after the institution has made a selection based on qualifications.

6. Request for Quote (Quotes) – A bid method utilized in the informal bid process, as determined by the institution’s Chief Procurement Officer, to obtain quotations from potential contractors.
for the purchase of goods or services. Quotes are obtained for small dollar transactions and shall be governed by the purchase order terms and conditions.

Each institution will develop, to the extent possible, standard solicitation templates to facilitate a consistent process and ensure that each solicitation document contains the required provisions. Templates will be reviewed and updated periodically. See Section V, Preparing the Solicitation.

G. Dollar Thresholds for Direct and Competitive Procurement

Purchasing personnel and program staff will first refer to any Applicable Laws, TSUS Rules and Regulations and University Rules that may direct the use of a specific procurement method. If Applicable Laws or Rules do not direct a specific method, institution’s purchasing/procurement staff may set limits not to exceed the following contract value thresholds to determine whether direct/spot market, informal or formal procurement methods should be used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Spend</th>
<th>Procurement Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$15,000</td>
<td>No competitive procurement required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000 to $25,000</td>
<td>Informal quotes from three or more potential vendors are required (Institutions may allow end users to secure these quotes directly). Two (2) HUB quotes are strongly encouraged within this range.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$25,000</td>
<td>Formal procurement by the institution directly or via another state agency or a GPO. The institution’s purchasing office, not program staff, must take lead responsibility for conducting or overseeing the procurement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: A large purchase may not be divided into small lot purchases to meet the contract value thresholds prescribed by this Section.

H. Exempt Purchases (Texas Comptroller's Contract Management Guide)

Some procurement transactions may be exempt from competitive procurement requirements. Each institution shall maintain a list of exempt purchase goods or services. Examples of exempt purchases include, but are not limited to, the following.

1. Emergency Purchases

An emergency purchase occurs as the result of unforeseeable circumstances and may require an immediate response to avert an actual or potential public threat. If a situation arises in which compliance with normal procurement practice is impracticable or contrary to the public interest, an emergency purchase may be warranted to prevent a hazard to life, health, safety, welfare, property or to avoid undue additional cost to the institution. Institutions should address emergency procurements in their operating policies and procedures.

2. Proprietary Purchases

A Proprietary Purchase (or sole source) is justified only when an equivalent good or service is not available or not suitable. A written justification must be provided by the originating department when a specification requirement limits consideration to one supplier, one good(s), or one service provider AND the amount of the purchase exceeds the informal bid threshold at the institution (either in a one-time purchase or repetitive purchases of the same
goods or services during a fiscal year). Price and personal preferences are not acceptable as determining factors. A Purchasing Office or Contracting Office reserves the right to require additional quotes or bids for proprietary purchases.

The written justification will include:

- Explanation of why a unique scope of work for a good or service is required;
- Details on the unique features of the good or service;
- Reason that competing goods or services are not satisfactory;
- Any other relevant information to support the sole source documentation; and
- Confirmation that the procurement does not violate conflict of interest and conflict of commitment policies.

Institutions shall have specific rules or policies pertaining to proprietary purchases within their operating policies and procedures.

3. Other Exempt Purchases

Certain goods or services are considered exempt from the competitive procurement rules, either by statute or if an exemption is in the best interest of the public. Bids or proposals are not required when procuring exempt goods or services but may be requested to confirm best value for the institution and shall have a valid business purpose.

I. Purchases from Persons with Disabilities

Applicable Laws (including the best value statutes) require institutions to comply with applicable laws related to the Purchases from Persons with Disabilities program.

The Purchases from Persons with Disabilities program (1) furthers the state’s policy of encouraging and assisting persons with disabilities to achieve maximum personal independence by engaging in useful productive employment activities; and (2) provides state agencies, departments, and institutions and political subdivisions of the state with a method for achieving conformity with requirements of nondiscrimination and affirmative action in employment matters related to persons with disabilities. Institutions must report any exceptions taken.

J. Group Purchases

Institutions may use contracts created by or through Group Purchasing Organizations (GPOs). Staff should analyze the particular requirements of a proposed purchase or contract and determine whether a GPO contract is the best method for completing the procurement. For one-time purchases of small dollar amount, use of GPO contracts without modification may be reasonable. However, for large procurements, or where a master contract will be created, staff may need to renegotiate the terms of the GPO contract, complete a contract rider, or may determine that a separate competitive process is needed.

Group Purchasing Organizations (GPOs) are entities that have been developed to aggregate and leverage the purchasing power of groups of businesses, government agencies, or other members to obtain steeper discounts from suppliers, based on its collective bargaining power. Many, but not all, GPOs are funded by administrative fees, either in the form of annual membership fees, or contract administration fees assessed on each purchase under the contract. Some GPOs that the TSUS uses include: Novation, E&I, US Communities, BuyBoard, First Choice, and choice Partners.

K. Planning for Contract Content
Clearly identifying general contracting objectives (i.e., needs assessment), assumptions, and constraints is an important step in the contracting process. This step may seem obvious, but when a contract fails, it often fails because the expectations were not met and there was not a true meeting of the minds regarding expectations. A clear understanding of the contracting objectives is essential to success. Typically a contract will be part of a larger organizational project. Institutions must carefully consider how the objectives, assumptions, and constraints integrate into the larger organizational project. Identify potential integration risks so that a strategy for mitigating or managing those risks will be developed later.

L. **Technique**

Defining the contract objectives, assumptions, and constraints may sound simple and straightforward, but this definition process can be quite complex. Institutions may find that individuals in the Contract/Evaluation Review Team hold different views as to the procurement’s objectives.

Each procurement transaction is different; therefore the description of the objective, assumptions, and constraints will vary. A good measure of the quality of the Scope of Work is whether the contract objectives, assumptions, and constraints make sense. Are the objectives, assumptions, and constraints described too broadly or too narrowly?

M. **Solicitation Research**

The contract/evaluation review team will seek input from System Administration or institutional personnel and other agencies or institutions who have developed solicitations, drafted contracts, and engaged in contractor oversight similar to the solicitation that is being planned.

Recommended methods of research include:

- Use the internet to search for similar solicitation documents, contracts, and oversight documents;
- Review websites of potential contractors for useful information;
- Check with universities, trade associations, and professional organizations to identify industry standards; and
- Publish a Request for Information (RFI).

While researching, institutions may wish to contact potential contractors to discuss the procurement. This is an acceptable practice as long as the institution solicits information from more than one contractor and advises the contractor up front that the institution’s interest at this point is strictly for solicitation research purposes and that any formal requests for pricing or other information will be made through a formal solicitation process ([Texas Comptroller’s Contract Management Guide](https://www.tre.state.tx.us/office-of-the-comptroller/contract-management)).

N. **Cost Estimates**

An estimated cost of the procurement will provide an idea of the range of goods or services to be included in the scope of work.

It is recommended that institutions contact someone within the institution who has knowledge in the subject area to assist with the cost estimate. However, if unable to find anyone with knowledge in the subject area, institutions may choose to conduct research to obtain pricing information. If contractors are contacted, be sure to advise them that you are obtaining price estimates for information purposes only and that the estimate is not a formal solicitation. In obtaining price estimates from potential bidders or proposers, care should be taken to avoid giving a potential respondent a competitive advantage.
O. Procurement Lead Time

In order to process a contract prior to its start date, the table below is provided to assist System Administration and institutions in the planning process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK</th>
<th>SUGGESTED LEAD TIME FROM CONTRACT START DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Begin Preparation of Solicitation Document – Program Personnel</td>
<td>180 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solicitation document completed</td>
<td>150 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertise and Issue Solicitation</td>
<td>145 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt of Responses</td>
<td>115 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of Responses</td>
<td>60 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Negotiation (if allowed) and Formation</td>
<td>50 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Execution – All signatures are obtained</td>
<td>15-50 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Begins (effective date)</td>
<td>0 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The lead times above are suggestions only and may vary depending on the specific requirements, the complexity of the contract, and the workload of the Purchasing Office and Contracting Office. Less complex procurements may be accomplished in less time, while more complex procurements may require more time.

- If the procurement is very complex and requires respondents to submit significant documentation and/or complex pricing, additional time for the solicitation period should be allowed.
- Evaluation of the bids or proposals may take more or less time, depending on the size of the Contract/Evaluation Review Team and the complexity of the evaluation. The evaluation period could also increase if oral presentations, discussions, or best and final offers are utilized.
- Contract negotiation and formation timeframes may vary depending on the complexity of the procurement.
- The contract execution timeframe may also differ significantly between a purchase order and a contract.
- Depending on the approval and signature requirements of the institution and the contractor, the contract execution lead time may need to be adjusted.
After an institution’s Purchasing Office determines which solicitation method is appropriate, the solicitation document is prepared. Relevant statutes, operating policies and procedures, TSUS Rules and Regulations, and industry best practices should be reviewed prior to preparing the solicitation document. The Contract/Evaluation Review Team involved in preparing a solicitation, any institutional personnel reviewing the solicitation, and any other institutional or outside representative who is involved in the procurement process must disclose to the agency any potential conflict of interest or conflict of commitment specified by state law or agency policy.

A. Preparing the Specifications

A Specification document should include the following:

1. Table of Contents
2. Introduction
3. Background Information
4. Schedule of Events
5. Definitions
6. Solicitation Requirements
7. Solicitation Procedures
8. HUB Subcontracting Requirements
9. Scope of Work
10. Evaluation and Award Process
11. Contractor Questionnaire
12. Terms and Conditions or a Sample Contract
13. Preferences
14. Execution of Offer
15. Affirmations and Conflict of Interest
16. Pricing Submittal Form
17. Exhibits

The specifications for a Request for Proposal process or a Request of Qualification process will be much more comprehensive than the specifications for an Invitation to Bid or a Request for Quote.

B. Organization of the Scope of Work

The Scope of Work (“SOW”) is very important as it forms the basic framework for the resulting contract. The SOW is a detailed description of the conceptual requirements for the procurement of goods or services. The SOW is included within the specifications. The success or failure of a contract can usually be linked to the adequacy of the planning, analysis, and thoroughness of the SOW. Time spent planning, analyzing, and drafting the SOW will improve the quality of the goods or services provided.

It is very important that the SOW:

1. Secure the best economic advantage utilizing best value;
2. Be clearly defined;
3. Be contractually sound;
4. Be unbiased and non-prejudiced toward respondents;
5. Encourage innovative solutions to the requirements described, if appropriate; and
6. Allow for free and open competition to the maximum extent reasonably possible.
One way of organizing the SOW is to divide each of the general contracting objectives into logical parts. Contracts are often divided into phases, such as:

1. Planning;
2. Development;
3. Implementation and Operation;
4. Installation;
5. Testing;
6. Operation; and
7. Maintenance.

The phases should support the subject matter and purpose of the contract. Phases can be further divided into small components of work (segments) and deliverables can be defined within each segment.

C. Characteristics of an Effective Specification

1. Simple: Avoid unnecessary detail, but be complete enough to ensure that requirements will satisfy the intended purpose.
2. Clear: Use consistent terminology that is understandable to the institution and to potential contractors. Use correct spelling and appropriate sentence structure to eliminate confusion. Avoid legalese type language and jargon whenever possible.
3. Accurate: Provide accurate units of measure compatible with industry standards and that are specific to the goods or services being requested in the solicitation.
4. Competitive: Draft specifications that encourage competition and provide opportunities for cost savings. Avoid additional requirements that could reduce or eliminate potential contractors.
5. Flexible: Avoid specifications that lack flexibility which prevent the acceptance of a response that could offer a greater value.

A specification is a description of the requirements for a good or service that an originating department seeks to procure, and is also a description of what a respondent must offer to be considered for an award.

D. Specification Types

This Handbook will focus on two of the most common types: Performance Based Specifications and Design Specifications.

1. Performance Based Specifications

Performance-based specifications focus on outcomes or results rather than processes, and the required goods or services rather than how the goods or services are produced. Performance-based specifications allow respondents to bring their own expertise, creativity, and resources to the procurement process without restricting them to predetermined methods or detailed processes. This allows the respondents to provide the goods or services at less cost and shifts some of the risk to the contractors. Performance-based specifications are fashioned so that respondents are allowed maximum flexibility when satisfying the requirements of a solicitation.
2. Design Specifications

Design specifications focus on resources and outline exactly how the contractor must perform the services or how the goods are made. Design specifications limit flexibility. Design specifications are appropriate for the purchase of low cost, low risk goods or services as determined by the Contract/Evaluation Review Team.

3. Other Specification Options

a. **Incentives:** Institutions can include incentives in their contract language. Incentives are used for outstanding performance which exceeds the goals contained in the contract. Incentive limitations shall be predetermined by the Contract/Evaluation Review Team.

E. **Elements of a Deliverable**

Deliverables defined in a solicitation may include the following elements:

1. A description of the work;
2. A standard for performance;
3. Test conditions, methods, or procedures to verify that the deliverable meets standards;
4. A method or process to monitor and ensure quality in the deliverable;
5. An acceptance process for each deliverable;
6. A compensation structure that is consistent with the type and value of goods or services provided; and
7. A contractual remedy, if appropriate.

The SOW should provide a clear and thorough description of the goods or services to be provided. If appropriate, provide the relevant environment where the goods or services will be used. In certain types of procurements, it may be critical to describe the existing business processes and operating policies and procedures. If the existing business process will change as a result of the procurement, then also describe what the business process will be after the procurement objectives are completed. If the institution wants the respondent to recommend new business processes, this information must be included in the solicitation.

F. **Contract Term**

The contract term shall comply with the TSUS Rules and Regulations and institutional operating policies and procedures. The maximum contract term must be established prior to solicitation and must be included in the solicitation document. All contracts must have an effective date, an expiration date and for construction/design, an expiration upon completion of scope of work clause. Options for renewals shall be clearly defined as to the number and length of each potential option.

G. **Contract Requirements**

The below checklist of applicable issues should be completed prior to submitting a contract for legal review or signature.

**CONTRACTS THAT MUST BE SIGNED BY THE CHANCELLOR OR THAT REQUIRE APPROVAL OF THE BOARD OF REGENTS**

The general rule is: a contract in the amount of $1 million or more is subject to approval by the Board and signed by the Chairman of the Board of Regents; a contract between $500,000 and $1 million must be signed by the Chancellor. Research grants are not subject to Board of Regents
approval, and granting agencies usually do not allow changes to their standard contracts. Therefore, it is not necessary to send grant contracts to the Office of General Counsel for review unless there is a legal question. Likewise, contracts within Presidential Spending Authority are not required to be submitted to the Office of General Counsel for review. Presidential Spending Authority (generally) includes contracts with a value under $500,000. Applicable rules and the exceptions are found in Chapter III, Section 1.11 of the TSUS Rules and Regulations.

Any contract that must be signed by the Chancellor or the Board of Regents MUST be submitted directly to the Vice Chancellor and General Counsel. This process will all the general counsel to review, identify, and correct legal issues early in the contracting process and prevent undue delay in the contract approval process. It also allows for coordination with other System Administration offices, such as the Office of Finance.

Use the required Signature Block (below) if the contract is to be submitted to the Board of Regents.

*If the contract does not have to go to the Board of Regents but must be approved by the Chancellor, remove the entire portion of the signature block after the Chancellor’s signature line before finalizing the document and obtaining the signatures.

TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM

Examined and Recommended:

President ________________________    Date _______________
Chancellor _______________________    Date _______________

Approved as to legal form:

________________________________
Vice Chancellor and General Counsel

APPROVED by the Board of Regents on [date of meeting] at  [place of meeting].

The Honorable ____________________             Date _________________
Chairman of the Board

SAMPLE LANGUAGE FOR CONTRACTS

1. **Title IX Language** - “Nondiscrimination: In their execution of this agreement, all contractors, subcontractors, their respective employees, and others acting by or through them shall comply with all federal and state policies and laws prohibiting discrimination, harassment, and sexual misconduct. Any breach of this covenant may result in termination of this agreement.”
2. **Indemnification Provisions** - Any provision stating that the University (or College) will “indemnify” and/or “hold harmless” the other party (parties) must be modified by adding language to the beginning of the provision which states: “To the extent provided by Texas law” (and then the indemnification language) and the following sentence must be included at the end of any Indemnification provision: “Notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in this contract, nothing herein constitutes a waiver of the constitutional, statutory or common law rights, privileges, immunities or defenses of the parties.”

If you have any questions regarding this provision, please consult the Office of General Counsel.

3. **Choice of Law and Venue Provisions** - Institutions should only agree to governing law in Texas with venue in the county in which the institution is located or in Travis County. Venue in real estate contracts must be in the county where the property is situated.

4. **Dispute Resolution** - Use the following language:

“If a dispute, or controversy, or claim arises out of or relates to this agreement, the parties will make a good faith attempt to resolve the issues. If the dispute cannot be settled by the parties, the parties agree to follow the dispute resolution process in Chapter 2260 of the Texas Government Code.”

---

**SAMPLE LANGUAGE FOR CONTRACTS REQUIRING BOARD OR CHANCELLOR APPROVAL AND FOR CONTRACTS FOR GOODS AND SERVICES**

1. **Public Information Act** – University (or College) strictly adheres to all statutes, court decisions and the opinions of the Texas Attorney General with respect to disclosure of public information under the Texas Public Information Act, Chapter 552, Texas Government Code. Contractor is required to make any information created or exchanged with the state pursuant to this contract, that is not otherwise excepted from disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act, available in a format that is accessible by the public at no additional charge to the state. The following format(s) shall be deemed to be in compliance with this provision: electronic files in Word, PDF, or similar generally accessible format.

2. **Tax Exempt Status** - University, an agency of the State of Texas, is exempt from Texas Sales & Use Tax in accordance with Section 151.309, Texas Tax Code, and Title 34 Texas Administrative Code (“TAC”) Section 3.322.

3. **Right to Audit** - The University retains the right to audit the financial records related to the provisions of the contract.

4. **Personnel Placed Permanently On Campus** - (See TSUS Rules and Regulations, Chapter III, Paragraph 10.3) When Contractors and/or their subcontractors place personnel permanently on the campus during the duration of the contract, the following language should be included:

  “Contractor will provide representation that it has conducted the following background checks on its officers, employees, or other persons it causes to be on the campus full time during the life of the contract:
  
  ▶ Sex offender and criminal history databases where the above individuals will be on the campus full time, working with or around students;
Criminal history and credit history background checks where the above individuals will be handling money, informational technology, or other security-sensitive areas as determined by University;

Contractor will determine on a case-by-case basis whether each individual assigned to perform the Work is qualified to provide the services. Contractor will not knowingly assign any individual to provide services on University’s premises who has a history of criminal conduct unacceptable for a university campus or healthcare center, including violent or sexual offenses.

Contractor will provide University a letter signed by an authorized representative of contractor certifying compliance with this Section. Contractor will provide University an updated certification letter each time there is a change in the individuals assigned to perform the Work. The president may require the vendor to remove any person from the campus that, in his or her judgment, poses a danger to health or safety.

5. **Contract Subject To All Applicable State Law** - In accordance with Texas Education Code, Section 51.9335 (h), any contract for the acquisition of goods and services to which an institution of higher education is a party, any provision required by applicable law to be included in the agreement or contract is considered to be a part of the executed agreement or contract without regard to:

   (1) Whether the provision appears on the face of the agreement or contract; or
   (2) Whether the agreement or contract includes any provision to the contrary.

6. **Ethics Matters – Disclosure Of Interested Parties** - Use the following language when applicable:

   “This agreement complies with Texas Government Code, Section 2252.908 as it applies to contracts requiring approval of the Board of Regents or has a value of at least $1 million. The statute requires a business entity entering into a contract to submit a Disclosure of Interested Parties form (Disclosure) as prescribed by the Ethics Commission.

   Following is the link to the form: [https://www.ethics.state.tx.us/whatsnew/elf_info_form1295.htm](https://www.ethics.state.tx.us/whatsnew/elf_info_form1295.htm)

   The form must be submitted to the institution at the time the signed contract (or amendment) is submitted and must include:

   (a) a list of each interested party (“interested party” is defined as a person who has a controlling interest in a business entity with whom a governmental entity contracts, or, who actively participates in facilitating the contract or negotiating the terms of the contract), and
   (b) the signature of the authorized agent of the business entity.

   In accordance with the new statute, the institution of higher education shall submit this form to the Texas Ethics Commission not later than 30 days after receipt from the business entity.”

   This provision does not apply to (a) sponsored research grants or contracts, (b) interagency contracts or (c) a contract related to health and human services if the value cannot be determined at the time of execution of the contract and if any qualified vendor is eligible for the contract.

H. **Historically Underutilized Business (HUB) Requirements**

   Institutions are required to make a good faith effort to utilize HUBs in contracts in accordance with institutional operating policies and procedures. These goals can be achieved through contracting directly with HUBs or indirectly through subcontracting opportunities in accordance with [Texas Government Code, Chapter 2161, Subchapter F](https://www.texas.gov/), and [Texas Administrative Code, Title 34 Part 1, Chapter 20, Subchapter B](https://www.texas.gov/).
Specific HUB procedures should be detailed in the operating policies and procedures of each institution.

Each institution that considers entering into a contract with an expected value of $100,000 or more over the life of the contract (including any renewals) shall, before an institution prepares the solicitation, determine whether subcontracting opportunities are probable under the contract Texas Administrative Code, Title 34 Part 1, Chapter 20, Subchapter B, Rule § 20.14 (a).

For all solicitations and contracts with an estimated value greater than $100,000, in which the institution has determined that there are subcontracting opportunities, HUB subcontracting forms must be submitted or the response will be considered non-Responsive as addressed in Texas Administrative Code, Title 34 Part 1, Chapter 20, Subchapter B, Rule § 20.14 (b).

I. Payment Types (Texas Comptroller's Contract Management Guide)

The method of payment has a direct impact on how the SOW is written and how a contract is managed. Institutions must measure or verify that the work is complete and how much and how often the institution will pay the contractor. As with specification types, there are also various payment types. The payments should be consistent with the type of good or service delivered. Payments should be structured to fairly compensate the contractor and encourage timely and complete delivery of the goods or services. As a general rule, payment should be approximately equal to the value of the delivered goods or the completed services.

1. **Cost Reimbursement** - reimbursement of allowable costs in accordance with the approved budget. May be associated with grants. Example: Contracts for Services.
2. **Cost Plus Incentives** – Contractor’s costs plus a percentage of cost or cost plus a fixed fee.
3. **Fee for Service** – A specific fee for a unit of service. Payments are made for each unit of service completed. Example: Providing flu shots.
4. **Firm Fixed Price** – A firm fixed price at the time the contract is awarded. Contractor carries all risk as the payment does not change, regardless of how much it costs the contractor to provide the goods or services. Example: Procurement of furniture.
5. **Firm Fixed Price with Escalator** – Similar to the “Firm Fixed Price” except that it includes a provision for price escalation. Escalators are usually based on the Consumer Price Index.
6. **Progress** – Payment is based on pre-established deliverables. The deliverables must be measureable. Example: A search firm agreement.
7. **Time and Material** – Payment is based on the number of hours worked for a specific SOW. Example: Services of an electrician.
8. **Other Payment Provisions**
   i. **Travel** – the reimbursement of travel expenses should generally be limited to the rates allowed by the General Services Administration (GSA) or the State of Texas unless otherwise agreed to in writing.
   ii. **Payment Discounts** – Institutions should encourage respondents to include payment discounts such those for prompt payments, centralized receiving, or limited weekly deliveries.

In addition, an institution may want to recognize cost savings by requiring electronic invoicing and payment. These incentives or requirements must be defined in the SOW.

The Purchasing Office or Contracting Office shall verify that the payment type complies with applicable statutes, TSUS Rules and Regulations, institutional operating policies and procedures, and Comptroller Guidelines.
J. Define the Institution’s Role  *(Texas Comptroller’s Contract Management Guide)*

Clearly define the role the institution will play in the work to be performed and any specific contributions, resources, or tasks the institution will provide. Detail any background data or work already accomplished that the anticipated contract will build on and make it available during the solicitation phase of the procurement. Specify whether the contractor should rely on the accuracy of any such background data or work or whether the data or work is provided for informational purposes only. If provided for informational purposes only, advise if the contractor is responsible for verifying the accuracy of the information to the extent necessary to perform the contract. Define the roles of institutional personnel who will administer the contract and monitor the contractor’s progress.

K. Quantity

The solicitation document must quantify the amount, frequency and/or location required to meet performance.

L. Quality

The solicitation document must identify the level of quality required for acceptable performance.

M. Established Standards

If established standards (international, national, state, local) are available, they can be used to assist in defining the contract performance requirements. Examples of national and international standards include American National Standards Institute (ANSI), American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) and International Organization for Standardization (ISO). Using established standards provides consistency in measuring acceptability, quality or accuracy of the performance of one or more parties to a contract.

Contracts will often incorporate by reference “standards” maintained by entities representing particular industries such as Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE), or Internation Organization for Standardization (ISO). If a standard is incorporated by reference, identify any industry, state or institutional standards of performance that relate to each good or service received by the institution. Merely referring to “industry standards” is usually inadequate. If an industry standard is used, specifically identify the standard.

N. Warranties

A warranty is a type of standard that can describe performance. Consider including warranty language as a contractual standard of performance. An express warranty and an implied warranty are technically different. However, each standard works to describe a type of contractually based performance.

Unless excluded or modified by the language in the contract, warranties or standards may be implied or imposed into a contract by a statute or case law. For example, in the sale or lease of some types of personal property or goods there may be statutory warranties implied into a contract, such as: a warranty of title, a warranty that the goods shall be merchantable, or a warranty that goods are fit for a particular purpose.

The best practice is to include clear standards for the contractual performance or an express warranty describing the objective expectation of performance rather than relying on an implied warranty. Generally, it is not necessary to the creation of an express warranty that the seller use
formal words such as “warrant” or “guarantee” or that the seller have a specific intention to make a warranty. However, a mere affirmation of the value of the goods or a statement merely purporting to be the seller’s opinion or commendation of the goods does not create a warranty.

O. Contractor Qualifications

The SOW should specify the minimum qualifications required of the contractor. At a minimum, the SOW should require that the contractor have a specified level of experience, certification, or training in the type of goods or services to be delivered as determined by the Contract/Evaluation Review Team.

P. Bonding Requirements

The three most common forms of bonding are Bid Bonds (deposits), Performance Bonds, and Payment Bonds.

Institutions must advise the respondents in a solicitation if a bond is required and what forms are acceptable (e.g., irrevocable letter of credit or cashier’s check). When considering whether or not to use a bond, remember that the cost of the bond is typically passed on to the institution by the contractor. Some bonds are required by statute for specific types of procurements as set forth in:

**Texas Government Code § 2253.021(a):**

(1) A Performance Bond if the contract is in excess of $100,000, and
(2) A Payment Bond if the contract is in excess of $25,000.

**Texas Government Code, Subchapter C, Private Auxiliary Enterprise Providing Services to State Agencies or Institutions of Higher Education - § 2252.064:**

(a) A Contractor shall execute a bond issued by a surety company authorized to do business in this state in an amount determined by the institution, but not to exceed the contract price.
(b) The bond must be payable to the institution and conditioned on the faithful performance of the terms of the contract.

Each institution must evaluate the risk of performance failure prior to issuing the solicitation and determine the need for a Performance Bond or Payment Bond.

Q. Insurance Requirements

Institutions must also evaluate the risks associated with each solicitation and include any insurance requirements in the specification. The Contract/Evaluation Review Team shall consult with the Risk Manager on insurance requirements as determined by the Chief Procurement Officer and shall consult with the Risk Manager on high risk solicitations.

During the term of this agreement, provider agrees to procure and maintain, at its expense:

1. Workers’ Compensation Insurance coverage for each of Provider’s employees employed on this project. Provider will certify the existence of such insurance as provided in Labor Code section 406.096; and
2. Public Liability and Property damage insurance to cover sums that either party becomes 
obligated to pay arising from this agreement. The policy will name the institution as an 
additional insured and will provide for the defense of the institution in the event of suit.

The policy will be in the minimum amounts of $250,000 for bodily injuries, including 
accidental death, to any one person, $500,000 for any one accident, and $100,000 for 
damage to property. Contractor will furnish Texas State proof of this insurance before the 
beginning of the term of this agreement.

3. A Certificate of Professional Liability Insurance is required with a minimum limit of $1,000,000 
each claim and $1,000,000 aggregate. The certificate shall indicate the expiration date of the 
A-E’s professional liability and errors and omissions insurance. The certificate is to identify the 
specific name of the project according to the terms of this agreement and identify the TSUS 
and the institution as additionally insured.

R. Evaluation Criteria (Texas Comptroller's Contract Management Guide)

The solicitation document must advise the respondents how a solicitation response will be 
evaluated. The evaluation criteria must reflect the essential qualities or performance requirements 
necessary to achieve the objectives of the contract. The criteria should allow the 
Contract/Evaluation Review Team to objectively evaluate the responses. The evaluation criteria 
may take a variety of sources of information into consideration such as the written response, oral 
presentations, documented past performance of the respondents, and references relevant to the 
contract. Specific portions of the required response should directly relate to the evaluation criteria. 
To ensure fairness in evaluation, the evaluation criteria are to reflect only those requirements 
specified in the solicitation document. The language within the solicitation will determine the 
scope of the evaluation criteria and the flexibility the Contract/Evaluation Review Team will have 
when evaluating proposals, so the evaluation criteria should not be unduly restrictive. 
Respondents must have notice in the solicitation of all requirements.

Criteria that was not included in the solicitation may not be used in the selection or ranking of a 
proposal. For example, if respondents receive additional points for possessing a national 
accreditation, or meeting the unique needs of the customers, these criteria must be included in 
the solicitation so that the respondents know there is an opportunity to score higher by providing 
these options. Likewise, if this information is not requested in the solicitation, respondents who fail 
to offer these options cannot be penalized during the evaluation process.

S. Best Value Considerations (Texas Comptroller's Contract Management Guide) and Texas 
Education Code § 51.9335

Best Value considerations must be included in the specifications. The lowest cost is not 
necessarily the best value for all procurements. For example, a commodity or service of higher 
quality, such as a longer life span, may be a better value and investment for an institution, even if 
the initial cost is more. Institutions need to think strategically when considering their procurement 
needs.

Some items which may typically be considered under best value include:

1. Installation costs;
2. The long-term cost to the institution of acquiring the contractor’s goods or services;
3. The quality and reliability of the goods or services;
4. Delivery terms;
5. Contractor’s past performance, including the contractor’s experience;
6. The reputation of the contractor and of the contractor’s goods or services;
7. The extent to which the goods or services meet the institution’s needs;
8. The contractor’s past relationship with the institution;
9. The impact on the ability of the institution to comply with laws and rules relating to historically underutilized businesses and to the procurement of goods or services from persons with disabilities;
10. The cost of employee training;
11. The effect of the purchase on the institution;
12. The contractor’s anticipated economic impact to the region;
13. Other factors relevant to determining the best value for the institution; and
14. Any relevant factor that a private business entity would consider in selecting a contractor.

T. Proposal Submission Requirements

The specifications must include a listing all of the required information that respondents must submit with their response to assist respondents in submitting a complete response. Additionally, recommended or required response formats must be specified in this section, such as order of information, page number limitations, and electronic format. If hard copy responses are permitted, the institution may want to specify the size of paper, number of copies, etc.

U. Monitoring

The methods used to monitor contractor performance must be clearly stated in the solicitation to give contractors advance notice if requirements include producing time-consuming reports or maintaining stringent testing requirements outside normal industry parameters. The institution may develop and include a monitoring strategy in the solicitation. The amount of monitoring should be balanced and adequate to meet the need, but limited in type, scope, and frequency sufficient to achieve the desired result without unnecessarily increasing costs. Overly restrictive oversight can interfere with the contractor’s ability to accomplish the work and may unnecessarily and inadvertently increase the cost of the work.

The SOW must set deadlines for completion of tasks and a schedule for submittal of deliverables, required meetings, presentations, or other activities. The Contract Manager must consider monitoring methods to ensure the contractor performs as specified in the SOW.

V. Reporting

Status reporting is a term used to describe information that a contractor must provide to evidence the performance of a contract. These terms must be defined in the SOW and the definition of each should include content, frequency, and audience for each report. A status report describes the level of completion of the work and/or the cost of the contract. “Percent complete” is often used to describe status. For the report to be useful, a baseline should be established for timelines and budgeting.

If deliverables are specified, include the format of the deliverable and the quantity required. For example, if a deliverable is a final project report, state how many copies of the report are needed and specify the format of the report. Provide details of all items that must be included in the report. These requirements are usually addressed in the SOW within the solicitation.

If contractor provided information is anticipated to be reported as part of the institutions’ performance measures, ensure that there are requirements that allow for data verification and that the data corresponds with the data required for the performance measures.

W. Inspection and Testing
The SOW must provide any requirements for inspection and testing and institutions shall describe provisions for inspection and testing of goods or services purchased under the contract.

Tests may be performed on samples submitted from regular shipments.

All external costs of inspection and testing should be borne by the contractor. In the event inspected and tested goods or services fail to meet or exceed all conditions and requirements of the contract, the goods or services should be rejected in whole or in part and must be replaced at the contractor’s expense. Latent defects can result in cancellation of a contract. “Latent defects” are those that are not known by or expressly disclosed to the buyer or not discoverable by a reasonably prudent inspection.

The institution will not have an obligation to the contractor for any lost overhead or profit resulting from a replacement or cancellation.

X. Final Acceptance

The SOW must clearly define how an institution will determine that a contract has been satisfactorily completed. The SOW sets a standard for acceptance of the goods or services and establishes a procedure to accept or reject the goods or services based on specific factors. A formal acceptance process for each requirement in a contract allows the Contract Administrator and a contractor to monitor the contract performance.

Y. Additional Issues to Consider

Listed below are additional issues which institutions should consider when preparing the SOW. Institutions should consider the effect on costs when including these items:

- Licenses or permits required;
- Use of institutional equipment;
- Storage space for contractor materials or supplies;
- Intellectual property or copyright issues;
- Subcontractor requirements; and
- Conflict of interests and other organizational restrictions.

Z. Contract Checklist –See Attachment at end of Handbook

The Contract Checklist will assist institutions in assuring that all documentation, reviews and procedures have been completed for a specific contract.
When marketing a solicitation, consideration must be given to the type of procurement method used. For example, the advertising requirements of goods or services are different from those of a Major Construction Project. Institutions should refer to the appropriate statute to ensure the proper advertising procedures are followed. Below is a table to assist in determining recommended advertising options.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Procurement</th>
<th>Applicable Statute*</th>
<th>Advertising Method(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goods or Services</td>
<td><strong>Texas Education Code</strong> §51.9335</td>
<td>Institutional bidding systems, Electronic State Business Daily (ESBD), Centralized Master Bidder’s List (CMBL), newspapers, HUB organizations, print media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td><strong>Texas Government Code</strong> Chapter 2254</td>
<td>Institutional bidding systems, Electronic State Business Daily (ESBD), Centralized Master Bidder’s List (CMBL), newspapers, HUB organizations, print media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Consulting Services over $25,000</td>
<td><strong>Texas Government Code</strong> Chapter 2254</td>
<td>Institutional bidding systems, Electronic State Business Daily (ESBD), Centralized Master Bidder’s List (CMBL), newspapers, HUB organizations, print media. The solicitation shall be posted in the Texas Register if paid for by appropriated funds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A. The Centralized Master Bidders List (CMBL)**

The CMBL is an electronic mail list administered by the Comptroller which is a database of contractors registered by commodity codes that have provided information for the primary contact, commodity codes, and the goods or services they offer. Institutions may utilize the list to solicit Bids or proposals from contractors registered on the CMBL.

**B. The Electronic State Business Daily (ESBD)**
The Electronic State Business Daily (ESBD) is an internet based resource used for posting procurement opportunities. It is also administered by the Comptroller. Institutions can utilize the ESBD to solicit procurements to Vendors on the CMBL. The ESBD is available on the internet at http://esbd.cpa.state.tx.us/.

C. The Texas Register

The Texas Register is used to advertise various types of appropriated funded procurements as required by statute. The Texas Register is administered by the Secretary of State’s Office.

D. Institutional Bidding System

Each component institution may publish the solicitation notifications in their respective institutional bidding system. The institutional bidding system may also accommodate electronic submittals of procurement responses.

E. Newspapers

If required by statute or institutional policy, each component institution shall publish procurement notices, in a newspaper of general circulation in print or online.

F. Pre-Solicitation Conferences

Institutions may conduct mandatory or non-mandatory pre-solicitation conferences. Institutions should carefully consider the use of a mandatory conference as this may limit competition. Conferences should be mandatory only if an on-site visit is required to have a full understanding of the procurement or if the solicitation is so complex that the institution’s Contract/Evaluation Review Team believes attendance is critical for potential respondents to fully understand the procurement. Pre-solicitation conferences provide a forum for institution personnel to respond to questions regarding a solicitation. The benefits derived from conducting pre-solicitation conferences include:

1. The conferences allow potential respondents to address specific questions or concerns with the solicitation. If any issues are identified at the conference, the institution will publish an Addendum to the solicitation.
2. The conferences provide an opportunity to explain the solicitation process and detail the submittal requirements.
3. Conferences are important when there is a need for on-site visits by contractors prior to submitting their response. In some cases, it may be sufficient to take digital pictures of the sites and provide this information in a slide presentation at the conference in lieu of the conference attendees traveling to the various sites. A copy of the slide presentation can be provided to the conference attendees and can be posted online.
4. Conferences provide a forum for institutions to provide additional information, schematics, plans, reports, or other data that is not easily transferable or distributed through hard copy.
5. Subcontracting relationships may develop through the contacts established at the conferences.
6. Respondents attending will all receive the same information.

The solicitation document must indicate the date, time, and location of the pre-solicitation conference. All conferences attendees must be documented through a sign-in sheet. This is especially important if the conference is mandatory since the institution can use the sign-in sheet to document respondent attendance at the conference.
The Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office will conduct the conference, in coordination with relevant institutional representatives. Facilities Administration staff may conduct a conference for construction related solicitations.

The Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office should facilitate the meeting and answer Procurement process related questions, while other institutional representatives may respond to the technical questions. It may not be possible to answer all questions at the conference. In these circumstances, the answers may be provided as an Addendum. It is recommended that the Purchasing Office or Contracting Office record minutes of the pre-solicitation conference.

Facilities Administration and Construction staff may facilitate and conduct pre-solicitation conferences for construction projects.

All changes to solicitations must be made through an Addendum issued by the institution. The Addendum is provided to all potential respondents, usually by posting online. When issuing an Addendum, consider the amount of time remaining until the Opening Date of the solicitation. It may be necessary to extend the Bid Opening or RFP deadline – which must also be done through the Addendum process.

Below is a typical agenda for a pre-solicitation conference:

1. Opening – Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office representative introduces institution representatives and explains their roles in the procurement process.
2. Introduction – Attendees introduce themselves and identify the company they are representing.
3. Solicitation Overview/Review – This is the main focus of the conference. The key components of the solicitation document are to be addressed, but it is not necessary or recommended to read the entire document. If applicable, HUB requirements are addressed.
4. Closing Summary – Summarize the changes that were agreed to be made through the issuance of an Addendum. Review any unanswered questions to be addressed at a later date. Remind attendees that no oral changes are binding; the changes must be in the form of a written Addendum.

G. **Communication With Respondents**

All communication with potential respondents flows through the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office or other designated personnel as detailed in the solicitation document. The solicitation document shall identify a single point of contact within the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office and describe all applicable forms of communication such as telephone, email, etc. Should a potential respondent contact other institutional personnel, they must politely decline to discuss the procurement and advise the respondent to contact the appropriate person. While the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office or other designated personnel may not be able to answer all of the technical questions posed by potential respondents, they will post the information online and distribute it to all registered potential respondents.

Other designated personnel may include Facilities Administration and Construction staff.

A respondent that contacts someone other than authorized Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office personnel in regards to a solicitation may be disqualified.

H. **Written Questions**
The solicitation document may invite respondents to submit written questions concerning a solicitation. This option may be in addition to or in lieu of a pre-solicitation conference. The date and time for submission of written questions must be specified in the solicitation document, if applicable. Written questions may be submitted by mail, email, posted through the institutional bidding system, or hand delivery.

The questions and answers will may be posted in the institutional bidding system or the Electronic State Business Daily (ESBD).

I. **Barring Vendors from Participating in Institutional Contracts**

Under [Texas Government Code § 2155.077](https://www.txc.org/), the Comptroller may bar a contractor from participating in state contracts based on the following including, but not limited to:

1. Substandard performance under a contract with the state or a state agency.
2. If there are material misrepresentations by a contractor in a bid or proposal to a state agency or during the course of performing a contract with a state agency.
3. A contractor may be barred due to fraud or breach of a contract with a state agency.
4. If the contractor has received unfavorable performance reviews under [Texas Government Code, § 2155.089](https://www.txc.org/), or repeated unfavorable classifications under [Texas Government Code, § 2262.055](https://www.txc.org/).
5. If more than two contracts between the contractor and the state have been terminated for unsatisfactory contractor performance during the preceding three years.

If a contractor is barred from participating in state contracts, the Comptroller shall determine the period of Vendor Debarment. The period for Vendor Debarment must be commensurate with the seriousness of the contractor’s action and the damage to the state’s interests.

While Institutions of Higher Education are excluded from Texas Government Code §2155, TSUS are encouraged to voluntary adhere to this provision.

J. **Solicitation Submission and Opening** ([Texas Comptroller’s Contract Management Guide](https://www.txc.org/))

The solicitation documents shall state the date, time, and location of the public opening to be held by the institution if applicable. Respondent’s proposals may be evaluated on a variety of factors in addition to price. At the sole discretion of each institution and as indicated in each solicitation documentation, institutions may choose to not conduct a public reading of respondent names or pricing tabulations prior to award of contract(s). The solicitation document must provide information to the respondent(s) that the responses cannot and will not be provided prior to award of contract(s).

K. **Consulting Services**

1. **Texas Government Code, Subchapter B: Main Provisions as Applicable to Institutions of Higher Education**

   [Texas Government Code § 2254.021](https://www.txc.org/) – Definitions

   “Consulting Service” means the service of studying or advising a state agency under a contract that does not involve the traditional relationship of employer and employee.”

   “Major Consulting Services Contract" means a Consulting Services Contract for which is it reasonably foreseeable that the value of the contract will exceed $25,000.”
Texas Government Code § 2254.023 – Applicability of Subchapter. This subchapter applies to Consulting Services, excepting professional services that a state agency acquires with money:

(1) Appropriated by the legislature;
(2) Derived from the exercise of the statutory duties of a state agency; or
(3) Received from the federal government, unless a federal law or regulation conflicts with the application of this subchapter.

Texas Government Code § 2254.024 – Exemptions

(a) This subchapter does not apply to or discourage the use of Consulting Services provided by:

(1) practitioners of Professional Services described in Subchapter A;
(2) private legal counsel;
(3) investment counselors;
(4) actuaries;
(5) medical or dental services providers; or
(6) other Consultants whose services are determined by the governing board of a retirement system trust fund to be necessary for the governing board to perform its constitutional fiduciary duties, except that the governing board shall comply with Section 2254.030.

Texas Government Code § 2254.026 – Contract with a Consultant. A state agency may contract with a Consultant only if:

(1) There is a substantial need for the Consulting Services; and
(2) The agency cannot adequately perform the services with its own personnel or obtain the Consulting Services through a contract with a state governmental entity.


Texas Government Code, Chapter 2254, Subchapter B, establishes six (6) oversight requirements for institutions using private Consulting Services paid for with appropriated funds. See table below. Because different state entities oversee these requirements, the distinctions between them may not be obvious. The statutory guideline for each requirement is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Basic Description of Requirement</th>
<th>Statutory Reference Government Code</th>
<th>Contact Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notification</td>
<td>Provide notice regarding consent to contract with consultant and provide information demonstrating that the state agency has complied or will comply with § 2254.026 and § 2254.027</td>
<td>§ 2254.028(a)</td>
<td>Legislative Budget Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding of Fact</td>
<td>Obtain a finding of fact showing that consulting services are necessary</td>
<td>§ 2254.028(a)</td>
<td>Office of the Governor Budget Division</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   **Texas Government Code § 2254.028** – Notice of Intent, using appropriated funds. See Table Above for Description of § 2254.028(a).

   - **Section 2254.028(c):** Subsection (a) does not apply to a Major Consulting Services Contract if an institution includes in the invitation published under Texas Government Code § 2254.029 a finding by the president of an institution that the Consulting Services are necessary and an explanation of that finding.

   **Texas Government Code § 2254.029** – Publication in Texas Register **Before** entering into Major Consulting Services Contract. See Table Above for Description.

   **Texas Government Code §2254.030** – Publication in Texas Register **After** Entering into Major Consulting Services Contract. See Table Above for Description

L. **Professional Services**

Contracts for Professional Services are exempt from complying with the above provisions of Texas Government Code Chapter 2254, Subchapter B (Consulting Services):

However, contracts for Professional Services must comply with Texas Government Code § 2254, Subchapter A, the Professional Services Procurement Act. Professional Services means Services:

(A) within the scope of the practice, as defined by state law, of:

   i. accounting ;
ii architecture;
iii landscape architecture;
iv land surveying;
v medicine;
vi optometry;
vii professional engineering;
viii real estate appraising;
ix professional nursing; or

(B) provided in connection with the professional employment or practice of a Person who is licensed or registered as:
i. a certified public accountant;
ii. an architect;
iii. a landscape architect;
iv. a physician, including a surgeon;
v. an optometrist;
vi. a professional engineer;
vii. a state certified or state licensed real estate appraiser; or
viii. a registered nurse.

Services provided by the above professionals that fall outside their scope of practice may be governed by other procurement requirements. For instance, Consulting Services provided by a certified public accountant would not be exempt as a Professional Service. In order to contract for such services, the institution would follow the Consulting Services Contract requirements.

M. Mixed Services

When a contract involves both Consulting Services and one or more other services, an institution must comply with the Consulting Services requirements when the primary objective of the contract is the purchase of Consulting Services. For instance, if a contractor proposes to analyze the institution's information systems needs and develop and implement an automated information system, the primary objective of the contract is not the analysis provided. It is the delivery of a data information system. This contract is not a Consulting Services Contract; therefore, the requirements for Consulting Services Contracts do not apply. However, the institution must comply with the procurement procedures under Texas Education Code, § 51.9335 and any institutional policies.

Institutions are prohibited from using competitive bidding to purchase or award a Contract for Professional Services. Texas Government Code § 2254.003. The selection of a contractor or award of a contract for “Professional Services” must be based on two criteria:

1. The demonstrated competence and Qualifications to perform the services; and
2. A fair and reasonable price.

The professional fees under the contract:

1. Must be consistent with and not higher than the recommended practices and fees published by the applicable professional associations; and
2. May not exceed any maximum provided by law.

N. Architectural, Engineering, or Land Surveying Services

When procuring professional architectural, engineering, or land surveying services, institutions must follow special procedures. Texas Government Code § 2254.004.
Institutions must:

1. Select the most highly qualified provider of those services on the sole basis of demonstrated competence and qualification without initially considering price; and then
2. Attempt to negotiate with that chosen provider a contract at a fair and reasonable price.

If a satisfactory contract cannot be negotiated with the most highly qualified provider of architectural, engineering, or land surveying services, the institution must:

1. Formally end negotiations with that provider;
2. Select the next most highly qualified provider; and
3. Attempt to negotiate a contract with the contractor a fair and reasonable price.
SECTION VII – EVALUATION AND AWARD

Institutions must conduct evaluations in a fair and impartial manner consistent with Texas law governing procurement, purchasing, and contracts.

The purpose of an evaluation process is to identify which responses are responsive and to have sufficient and accurate information to make a sound decision.

As previously discussed in Section V, the Request for Proposal and Request for Qualification solicitation documents will include a general description of the evaluation process, the predetermined evaluation criteria, and the relative weights to be assigned to each evaluation criterion. The responses will be evaluated on criteria in addition to cost. In the RFP process, the Contract/Evaluation Review Team will determine the best overall value to the institution. In the RFQ process, the Contract/Evaluation Review Team will determine the best qualified response(s) in accordance with the specifications.

In an Invitation for Bid process, a Contract/Evaluation Review Team will evaluate if the bidder is Responsive in accordance with the requirements and if a response complies with the specifications. A Contract will be awarded to the bidder who meets the specifications and provides the lowest overall cost to the institution.

A. Contract/Evaluation Review Teams

1. **Composition and Role.** A Contract/Evaluation Review Team will be comprised of individuals who are stakeholders in the final goods or services or individuals who have the necessary technical or program expertise. It is important that team members understand the needs of the institution and the desired outcome of the procurement. The Contract/Evaluation Review Team should bring together as much knowledge as possible to select the contractor. Contract/Evaluation Review Team members will have input into the solicitation document. The team members should fully understand the requirements of the solicitation, be able to critically read and evaluate responses, and to document their independent judgments concisely and clearly in accordance with the evaluation criteria.

2. **Size of Teams.** The recommended size of a Contract/Evaluation Review Team is three to five members. However, some projects may require additional members or additional teams due to the nature of the procurement. Coordination and management of the evaluation process becomes more difficult as the size and number of teams increase. To avoid potential individual bias, it is strongly encouraged that a Contract/Evaluation Review Team not be less than three members.

3. **Appointment and Voting.** A Contract/Evaluation Review Team is appointed by or under the supervision of Chief Procurement Officer who provides, or assigns responsibility to provide, an orientation to team members about their role in the evaluation and award process. Generally, a representative from the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office is appointed to serve as the team leader for a Contract/Evaluation Review Team and is a non-voting member. Other personnel from the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office may serve as voting members for the evaluation and award process.

4. **Team Training.** Before evaluating any responses, the Contract/Evaluation Review Team leader will be available to instruct the Contract/Evaluation Review Team and outline the team’s duties and responsibilities, specifically including the critical nature of confidentiality to the integrity of the evaluation process. Each Contract/Evaluation Review Team member will submit a signed Non-Disclosure and Conflict of Interest Form to the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office prior to any activity as a team member.
The team leader will be available to review all evaluation criteria with the team members and explain how the evaluation process will be conducted. Each response must be evaluated individually against the requirements of the solicitation document. Each solicitation document response is considered independently of all other solicitations.

B. Scoring Matrix

A Scoring Matrix is used by the Contract/Evaluation Review Team members to score individual responses based on the specifications and evaluation criteria defined in the solicitation document. The team leader shall provide instructions for completing the Scoring Matrix.

C. Responsive Submissions

After all responses are opened and recorded, the Procurement/Purchasing Office, Contracting Office or Facilities Administration/Construction does an initial administrative review to determine if the responses submitted are Responsive and the contractor is Responsible. At a minimum, this review shall include confirmation of the following:

- signed affirmation and Conflict of Interest disclosure,
- HUB subcontracting plan,
- bonds and evidence of insurability,
- any other required documentation in accordance with the specifications, and
- that the minimum qualifications are met.

Consultation with legal counsel is sometimes necessary to determine a proposal’s responsiveness.

Only those responses deemed to be responsive and from a responsible respondent will be provided to the Contract/Evaluation Review Team.

D. Rejection of Responses

If an institution receives less than three responses to a solicitation, the institution may elect to accept these responses, extend the opening, or reject the responses and reissue the solicitation. In the determination, an institutions should review the specifications for any unduly restrictive requirements and contact potential respondents to determine why a response was not submitted.

The institution shall include language in the solicitation document which defines the rights of the institution in this situation. Proper documentation shall be retained to fully support all actions.

E. Proposal and Qualification Evaluations

Once responses have been reviewed and considered responsive and a contractor is deemed Responsible by the Procurement/Purchasing Office, Contracting Office or Facilities Administration/Construction, the Contract/Evaluation Review Team shall be provided with the qualified responses. The evaluations may be conducted via electronic sourcing system or manually in person with entire evaluaton team present, along with a Procurement or Contract Administration staff member. All written and oral evaluation questions should be presented to the Contract/Evaluation Review Team leader to seek answers to such questions, prior to evaluation.

Once evaluations are complete, the team leader will collect the evaluation Scoring Matrix from each team member. The Contract/Evaluation Review Team leader will review the Scoring Matrix and verify the accuracy of calculations for input into the final evaluation summary.
If it is apparent that one or more team members’ evaluations differ significantly from the majority, the team leader should verify with all team members that the criteria was clear and that information was not overlooked or misunderstood. If after this discussion, a team member feels that he/she did not understand the criteria, the requirement, or missed information that was included in the response, the evaluator, at his or her own discretion, may revise their evaluation score.

Under no circumstances shall any team member attempt to pressure other members to influence evaluation scores.

F. References, Background, and Credit Checks

The Contract/Evaluation Review Team, the Procurement/Purchasing Office, the Contracting Office or Facilities Administration/Construction may verify any references included in the response and conduct any other reference, credit check, or background check deemed appropriate. The Contract/Evaluation Review Team may also use the Comptroller’s Vendor Performance System in evaluating a contractor's past performance, see the following:

https://www.comptroller.texas.gov/purchasing/programs/vendor-performance-tracking/

All reference, credit, or background checks must be documented in writing. It will be beneficial if the individual conducting the reference, credit, or background check uses a consistent script to provide a fair process to all respondents.

Sometimes it is difficult to obtain information from the references provided, either because the references have a policy of not providing reference information or because they cannot be reached in a timely manner. The institution may want to consider using the following statement in the solicitation document in lieu of checking references for all respondents:

[Institution name] reserves the right to check references, credit, or background information prior to award. Any negative information received may be grounds for disqualification of the Response

By using this clause, an institution is not required to check references, credit, or background information but may choose to do so. Whether or not to verify information as part of the evaluation criteria is at the discretion of an institution.

G. Oral Presentations and Discussions

Oral presentations or discussions with respondents are conducted at the option of the institution, and if used the solicitation document will provide a summary of the presentation. Oral presentations and discussions provide an opportunity for respondents to highlight the strengths and unique aspects of their response and to provide answers to questions the institution may have regarding the response. Demonstrations of product functionality are recommended when appropriate, such as information technology procurements or solution based procurements.

Oral presentations and demonstrations can be scheduled for all respondents or limited to the top ranked respondents in the competitive range of the evaluation process as determined by the Chief Procurement Officer or his or her designee. The competitive range shall consist of those responses determined to be reasonably considered for award selection.

Oral presentations and demonstrations must be fair to all parties. The time allowed and the format should be consistent for all presenters. A prepared script will help ensure consistency.

H. Best and Final Offers
An institution may request Best and Final Offer(s) (BAFO(s)) from the respondents in the Request for Proposal process. The BAFO serves as an official revision of the RFP response. A provision for the BAFO process is included in the solicitation document.

Revisions of responses are normally accomplished by formally requesting BAFOs after the initial evaluation process or at the conclusion of oral presentations and discussions with a deadline set for receipt of BAFOs and including instructions as to exactly what is to be submitted in response to the BAFO. After consideration of all BAFO responses, an institution may choose to select the best value respondents with which to commence negotiations.

I. Negotiations

In any contract, there are usually terms or conditions that each party may be willing to relinquish. The first step in the negotiation process is determining the best value responses. Then, an institution must identify those terms and conditions that are essential, desirable, or subject to negotiation.

An institution may negotiate terms and conditions in some solicitations and not in others. For example, the Invitation for Bid method does not allow negotiations unless only one (1) bidder submitted a bid or in the case of an Emergency or Proprietary Purchase, while the RFP method does allow negotiations. Other competitive processes generally contemplate and allow a certain amount of negotiation. Whether or not the solicitation process permits negotiations will be determined by the Chief Procurement Officer.

NOTE: A request for a respondent to clarify an offer is not the same as negotiating about specifications or terms and conditions so long as the request to clarify does not afford one respondent an advantage over another.

Negotiations are not authorized to use technical leveling or technical transfusion techniques.

- Technical leveling is helping a respondent to bring their response up to the level of other responses through successive rounds of discussion, usually by pointing out response weaknesses.
- Technical transfusion is the disclosing of technical information or approaches from one response to other competitors in the course of discussion
- Prohibited disclosures include: (See Texas Government Code § 552.153 (2) (C)).
  1) disclosing competing respondents’ cost or prices (even if the disclosure is made without identifying the other respondent by name); and
  2) advising a respondent of its cost or price standing relative to other respondents.

Even in the RFP process, care must be taken to avoid inadvertently changing the specifications in order to provide an advantage to one or more respondents.

J. Negotiation Strategies

Negotiation strategy must be tailored to suit the particular facts and circumstances of the specific solicitation. In all events, an institution may continue with negotiations with the next best value contractor until the best interest of the institution is achieved which may result in either an award or a termination of the negotiation.

Like other parts of the contract management process, planning is essential to conducting a successful negotiation. Members of a Contract/Evaluation Review Team should communicate with the Office of General Counsel for assistance to determine which business terms and conditions are essential and those that may be negotiated. The Office of General Counsel will identify the terms and conditions that are essential to the contract or are mandated by law. These are the terms or conditions upon
which an institution is either unable or unwilling to compromise. The Contract/Evaluation Review Team in conjunction with the Office of General Counsel can then cooperatively negotiate the contract.

Do not provide the list of essential or other prioritized issues to the respondent as the list will offer a negotiating advantage. Before meeting with the respondent, review any objections to the contract terms and conditions. The objection should explain why the respondent is objecting to each term or condition.

Be prepared to explain why a particular term or condition is essential or objectionable and place the burden on the respondent to identify an alternative solution that meets an institution’s needs. Do not feel pressured to agree or disagree to a single term or condition without considering the impact of all negotiated terms and conditions within the context of a final agreement. When all negotiated terms and conditions are completed, consider any resulting new risks, costs, or benefits.

Negotiations can reach an impasse over conflicting terms thought to be essential to each party. The three question approach used to assist the institution in identifying contract objectives may be useful to assist the parties in clarifying and harmonizing potentially divergent objectives and interests. The three questions are:

1. What does the institution want, specifically? (Can it be obtained without undue risk to the institution?)
2. What will having what the institution wants, specifically, do for the institution? (Examining this aspect may provide common ground to explore options that meet the needs of both parties.)
3. How will the institution know, specifically, when the institution has received what it wants?

If the parties cannot reach an agreement, the institution should consider beginning negotiations with the next respondent.

NOTE: Once an institution proceeds to negotiate with the next best value respondent, the institution cannot return to the negotiation process with any of the respondents with which negotiations failed.

K. Award

An institution shall award a contract for the purchase of goods or services that provides the best value for the institution in compliance with the specifications. In some cases, an institution may elect to issue only a Purchase Order that serves as the agreement between the parties. The terms and conditions of the Purchase Order will state that it governs over a response, a quote and any other document provided by a respondent.

Upon award of a contract an institution shall notify all respondents as to the award.
The information in this chapter is not intended to constitute legal advice.

The purpose of this chapter is to provide general guidelines regarding contract formation. However, there are many variations and exceptions to these general instructions. Please consult the Office of General Counsel for any questions about applicable statutes, regulations, TSUS Rules and Regulations, and operating policies and procedures.

A. Approach to Contract Formation

Fundamentally, the purpose of a written contract is to establish the rights and obligations of the parties in order to prevent misunderstanding and conflict. Without a clearly framed contract, conflicts may later surface and jeopardize enforceability of the instrument and in a worst case scenario send require legal action.

Therefore, it is important that the parties document clear terms and address potential issues as completely as possible. The person who drafts the contract must understand the subject matter and concerns of the parties well enough to anticipate potential areas of disagreement and specifically address them in the contract. Once signed, it may be difficult to amend unclear terms or add terms to address issues since all parties must agree to any changes.

Additionally, creating contracts for a state institution of higher education is complicated by the interplay of state and federal statutes, regulations, TSUS Rules and Regulations, and operating policies and procedures pertaining to issues such as fiscal constraints, constitutional and statutory requirements, and requirements to be a contractor with a state agency.

B. Elements of a Contract

The basic and essential elements necessary to form a binding contract are:

1. **Offer:** the present intent and willingness to enter into a bargain. A party must show the following to prove that an offer was made:
   - The offeror intended to make an offer,
   - The terms of the offer were clear and definite, and
   - The offeror communicated the essential terms of the offer to the offeree;

2. **Acceptance:** Once there is a valid offer, there must then be acceptance of that offer. A valid acceptance must show the following:
   - The acceptance was made before the offer lapsed or was revoked by the offeror
   - The manner in which the acceptance was made strictly complied with the terms of the offer
   - The acceptance was communicated to the offeror, and
   - The form of the acceptance was clear and definite

3. **A communication** that each of the parties has consented to the terms of the contract. The parties must agree to the same terms and conditions. The agreement must be based on an objective standard. If there are issues that arise after the agreement is signed, the decision makers will consider what the parties did and said, not their subjective states of mind.

4. **Execution and delivery** of the contract with an intent that it become mutual and binding on the parties; and
5. Consideration—is a bargained-for exchange of promises. It can consist of a benefit to the promisor or a loss or detriment to the promisee.

NOTE: a contract is not formed if the underlying purpose of the agreement is illegal or if a party is legally incompetent, which would negate elements of formation.

C. Drafting a Contract

Contracts typically include standard terms and conditions, often referred to as 'boilerplate' or 'standard' terms and conditions. This chapter provides reference to standard terms and conditions used by the institutions in most contracts, but unless otherwise specified, may be modified to meet an institution’s needs.

An institution that repetitively bargains for the same or similar goods or services may develop a template contract with terms that are standard for a specific type of transaction.

Appropriate terms included in a contract will fully describe the actual agreement of the parties. Some provisions that are typically included in contracts include, but are not limited to:

1. Administrative provisions;
2. Financial provisions;
3. Provisions that allocate risk;
4. The Statement of Work.
5. Provisions relating to the contract term, termination, governing law, and dispute resolution; and
6. Provisions that relate to rights and ownership of work product and intellectual property.

D. Planning for the Contract

Just like other contract management processes, an institution needs to plan for drafting a contract allowing adequate time to prepare and review the final contract. A best practice is to include a draft of the standard institution contract in the solicitation document. This allows the respondent to make an offer with knowledge of the proposed contractual terms and conditions. However, as a practical manner, it may be difficult to prepare a draft contract with a detailed SOW due to the potential for Negotiation and resource limitations.

Some recommended planning steps are:
• Collecting and reviewing similar contracts. Do not automatically adopt terms and conditions from another contract without a thorough and independent review of how the terms and conditions relate to the current procurement. Studying risks, contracting objectives, assumptions, and constraints in other contracts may be helpful.
• Prepare an outline containing headings for the major terms and conditions. This makes it easier to group related terms and conditions. An outline will also illustrate gaps in the structure of the contract.
• Finally, allow adequate time to consult with the Office of General Counsel regarding potential legal issues.

E. Form of the Contract

An agreement can be in the form of a contract, with recitals, negotiated terms and signature blocks, but Purchase Orders are also considered contracts. Each of these forms has advantages and disadvantages. Determining which format is appropriate should be based on an assessment of the risks involved.

1. Formal Contract
A formal contract offers the greatest opportunity to avoid conflicting provisions, because all of the terms and conditions are negotiated, contained in one document and both parties sign the document. Contract management is sometimes easier when all of the provisions regarding the duties, obligations and responsibilities of each party can be logically organized and easily found. On the other hand, formal contracts require more time to plan, prepare, and review.

2. **Purchase Order**

A Purchase Order uses a layered approach, i.e., the Purchase Order usually relies on a number of documents that in combination, constitute a contract. Applying the formation rules in a simple manner, a contractor delivers an offer, in a form of a quote or a solicitation response, and the institution indicates acceptance of the offer by issuing a Purchase Order with standard terms and conditions attached. Together these various documents comprise the offer and acceptance and evidence of the basis of an agreement.

There is potential for conflicting or additional terms among the various documents. When used properly, a Purchase Order is quick and efficient, but the institution’s standard terms and conditions need to clarify which document governs in the event of conflicting language. When using a Purchase Order as evidence of a contract, an institution is advised to use its terms, rather than accepting terms a contractor proposes.

F. **Contract Terms**

There are certain terms and conditions that are essential and many that must be included in all contracts. Please consult with the Office of General Counsel regarding questions related to the applicability of contract terms and developing standard terms and conditions.

G. **Authority to Contract** (See TSUS Rules and Regulations)

Refer to TSUS Rules and Regulations – Planning /Construction Policies & Procedures Manual, Section 2. Chapter III, Section 1 contains information regarding what contracts and agreements must be approved by the Board of Regents or the System Chancellor. All of the agreements that must be approved by the Board or the Chancellor must be submitted in advance to the Vice Chancellor and General Counsel for review.

H. **Signatures**

The System and its institutions accept digital signatures that are compliant with the ESIGN Act of 2000 and the Uniform Electronic Transaction Act (UETA) of 1999 when the signature platform authenticates users by password, and the platform produces a time stamp for the digital signature. Compliant digital signatures are considered “original signatures.”
The following section serves as recommended guidance for the Contract Administration process. Each institution shall develop a contract administration operating policy and procedure in order to formalize the process.

A. Post-award Contract Administration Overview

1. Post-award Planning
2. Monitoring Performance
3. Change Management
4. Payment Approval
5. Dispute Resolution
6. Termination
7. Contract Closeout

The primary tasks of Contract Administrator:

1. Read and understand the contract. Consult with Contract Manager or where appropriate, the Office of General Counsel when there are questions.
2. At regular intervals verify contractor performance for compliance with contract requirements.
3. Identify possible noncompliance with a contract by monitoring a contractor’s performance.
4. Determine if corrective action is necessary and communicate with the Contract Manager.
5. Develop plan/checklist for Contract Closeout.

The SOW is the roadmap for contract administration. The goal is for the parties to satisfactorily perform their responsibilities. Effective contract administration minimizes or eliminates problems and potential claims and disputes.

The extent of contract administration will not be the same for all contracts. The level of contract administration necessary should be consistent with the complexity and level of risk of the contract, the contract term, and dollar value.

B. Contract Manager Responsibilities at the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office

Contract award is not the final measure of success. The Contract Manager will guide the Contract Administrator until the completion of services or final receipt of goods.

A key factor in successful Contract Management post-award contract administration is communication between a Contract Administrator and a Contract Manager.

The primary post-award responsibilities of a Contract Manager may include, but are not limited to:

1. Guiding the Contract/Evaluation Review Team throughout the process.
2. Consulting with Office of General Counsel to address any legal concerns or issues.
3. Receiving and responding to formal contract communications between the institution and the contractor.
4. Managing, approving, and documenting any changes to the contract.
5. Documenting and assisting in resolving disputes with contractor in a timely manner.
6. Establishing control of correspondence, data, and reports.
7. Coordinating with the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office provide all contract documentation in the repository.
8. Providing notices and exercising remedies, as appropriate, when a contractor's performance is deficient in consultation with the Office of General Counsel.
9. Providing formal written documentation accepting the deliverables as appropriate.
10. Reviewing encumbrances to confirm compliance with the contract.
11. Performing random sampling of invoices to verify compliance with institutional operating policies and procedures and the contract terms.
13. Regularly review performance for contract administration process improvement.

C. Contract Administrator Responsibilities at Originating Department

The Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office shall identify a single Contract Administrator at the Originating department.

A Contract Administrator needs to have a proficient understanding of the contract provisions, the ability to communicate about contract obligations to all parties involved, and maintain control over the contract performance.

A good Contract Administrator monitors that contract requirements are satisfied, that goods or services are delivered in a timely manner, and that financial interests of the institution are protected.

Contract Administrators must have sufficient knowledge of contracting principles as it relates to their responsibilities in managing the contract. It is the contractor's responsibility to perform and meet the requirements of the contract. To do so, contractors need technical direction and approval from a Contract Administrator, provided in a timely and effective manner. All guidance provided to a contractor must be within the scope of the contract. All variances shall be clearly defined in the specifications.

The primary post-award responsibilities of the Contract Administrator may include, but are not limited to:

1. Serving as the point of contact for disseminating instructions regarding the SOW to the contractor.
2. Receiving and responding to informal communications between an institution and a contractor.
3. Establishing scope of authority, clear lines of communication and reporting, and specific individuals who will interact directly with a contractor.
5. Providing access to institution facilities, equipment, data, personnel, materials, and information.
6. Identifying, documenting, and resolving minor disputes with a contractor in a timely manner.
7. Implementing a quality control and assurance process.
8. Maintaining appropriate documentation as required by the applicable retention guidelines.
9. Documenting significant events including deficiencies, deliverables, and milestones.
10. Monitoring the contractor’s progress and performance to confirm goods or services conform to the contract requirements.
11. Inspecting and approving the final goods or services received and providing documentation of acceptance to a Contract Manager.
12. Monitoring budgets to verify sufficient funds are available for the duration of the contract.
13. Monitoring default terms and conditions in the contract.
14. Authorizing payments consistent with the contract documents and in accordance with institutional operating policies and procedures.
15. Performing the Contract Close-out responsibilities.

Contract Administrators are not authorized to:
1. Instruct a contractor to start work prior to a fully executed contract and receipt of any required bonds and insurance or other requirements.
2. Alter the scope or terms of the contract without a formal contract amendment processed through the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office.
3. Approve changes to the HUB Subcontracting Plan or approve substitutions or additions of subcontractors without written approval from the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office.
4. Extend the term of the contract without execution of formal contract amendment processed through the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office.
5. Allow the contractor to incur any obligations outside of the scope of the contract.

D. Contract/Evaluation Review Team Responsibilities

The number of participants in the Contract/Evaluation Review Team will vary in number from one to many depending on the size, level of risk, and complexity of the contract. Early in the procurement process, the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office shall identify personnel to participate on the Contract/Evaluation Review Team. The Contract/Evaluation Review Team responsibilities continue through the post-award Contract administration phase.

The primary post-award responsibilities of the Contract/Evaluation Review Team may include, but are not limited to:

1. Reviewing the sequence of activities, dependencies, required or desired outcomes, and acceptable performance levels.
2. Reviewing post-award timetable and start and end date for each performance component. Include milestones with accompanying timeframes, and monitoring and reporting requirements.
3. Monitoring and documenting contractor activity on a specified frequency to identify problem areas.
4. Meeting with a contractor to review progress, discuss problems, and consider necessary changes.
5. Reviewing contract performance problems and providing input on viable solutions.

E. Post-Award Planning

In order to properly plan, a Contract Administrator must understand all components of the solicitation and the contract.

Procedures for contract administration are described in the solicitation document. A Contract Administrator should use template checklists to monitor contract compliance, key components of which may include, but are not limited to:

1. Expected costs
2. Potential risks
3. Timelines for performance
4. Options for inspection and acceptance
5. Key dates of the contract

F. Post-Award Conference

Not every contract requires a formal post award conference. The Contract/Evaluation Review Team shall decide if a post-award conference is necessary. Factors used to determine the need for a post-award conference include:

1. Type of contract;
2. Level of risk associated with the contract;
3. Value and complexity;
4. Length of contract, period of performance, or delivery requirements;
5. Procurement history of the goods or services required;
6. Institution’s prior experience with the contractor;
7. Any special or unusual contract requirements; and
8. Any special or unusual payment requirements.

For less complex, low risk, low-dollar value contracts, a post-award conference is not necessary. A Contract Manager shall provide the name of the Contract Administrator to the contractor.

A post-award conference is held soon after a contract is awarded. It is a meeting held with a contractor awarded a contract, and prior to beginning performance under a contract, to verify that a contractor fully understands the expectations, will perform accordingly, and can provide the foundation for an effective contract administration effort. Although both a contractor and institution personnel should be fully aware of the contract requirements, the post-award conference assists those involved directly in the contract administration process to understand all requirements of contract performance. The post-award conference cannot be used to change the terms of the contract.

G. Monitoring Performance

Monitoring the performance of a contractor is a key function of proper contract administration in order to assess whether the contractor is performing all duties in accordance with the contract and to allow the Contract/Evaluation Review Team to identify and address any developing issues.

Small dollar value or less complex contracts normally require little, if any, monitoring. However, that does not preclude the possibility of more detailed monitoring if deemed necessary by the Contract/Evaluation Review Team. Conversely, large dollar contracts may need little monitoring if the goods or services procured are not complex, and an institution is comfortable with the contractor’s performance and the level of risk associated with the contract.

There are different types of monitoring available, including but not limited to:
- Site Visits
- Desk Review
- Expenditure Document Review

For some contracts, especially highly technical contracts, the obligation of monitoring the progress is best assigned to another contractor.

Each institution shall develop a procedure to identify each contract that requires enhanced contract or performance monitoring and submit information on the contract to the Board of Regents via the TSUS Risk Manager within the Office of Finance. An institutions’ Chief Procurement Officer shall immediately notify the Board of Regents of any serious issue or risk identified with respect to a contract monitored in accordance with Texas Government Code § 2261.253(c).

H. Reporting

Reports are used by the contracting parties to monitor the progress of contract compliance. Reporting requirements must be clearly defined in the solicitation document providing for the frequency, content, format, and recipients of each report.

Below is a listing of common contract reports that may be utilized by the parties. The Contract/Evaluation Review Team will determine what reports are effective monitoring tools for each contract.
1. **Service Level Reports** are used to document the performance of a contractor. These reports may provide information on backorders, product returns, and fulfillment cycles.

2. **Progress or Milestone Reports** are a useful means of assessing the routine progress of a contractor. The report can be used as a gauge of determining milestone completion and can be used as an approval tool for progress payments.

3. **Subcontractor Reports** document the activity of subcontractors. Often, these reports are required by statute or other governmental regulation.

4. **Product Usage Reports** are useful reports for commodity contracts. These reports detail purchases of a product category and can be used to determine pricing advantages. An example would be a store brand versus name brand report.

5. **Ordering Activity Reports** provide information to the institution on spend trends. An example would include a report on the number of orders less than $50. This information can be used to negotiate improved shipping prices for the institution.

6. **Historical Metrics** are used to measure contract success. Historical metrics are used to compare the progress of a contract over a longer period of time. Historical metrics may include total spend reports across multiple years of the contract.

7. **Asset Reports** may be required by the institution to document assets being purchased on behalf of the institution by the contractor. This would assist the institution in identifying any asset that would necessitate inventory tracking.

8. **Revenue Reports** are often required on Auxiliary contracts that provides revenue for the institution. The report should clearly detail the net sales received by the contractor, the formula used to calculate the revenue, and the revenue amount payable to the institution.

9. **Statutory Reports** are required by statute and the requirements should be clearly defined in the SOW. This may include HUB Subcontracting reported information.

10. **Regulatory Reports** are required by a regulatory body and should be clearly defined in the SOW. This may include reports on hazardous materials utilized in the performance of the contract.

11. **Contract Close-Out Reports** provide value to both contracting parties as the reports document the completion of the SOW. Examples may include construction punch-out lists, final sales reports, audits, lessons learned, and Vendor Performance Forms.

### I. Risk Management

A preliminary risk assessment should be conducted to make an initial determination on the level, type, and amount of resources required to successfully manage the risk associated with the contract. As the risk associated with a contract increases, the degree of Contact Review Team oversight should be increased. (Refer back to Section IV C).

### J. Payment Approval

Any costs incurred by a contractor are to be in accordance with the contract terms.

Payments must be reviewed by the Contract Administrator or his or her designee for compliance with the contract pricing terms. Payment review shall include, but is not limited to:

1. The contractor is billing only for goods or services received by an institution;
2. The goods or services have been inspected and accepted;
3. The invoice is correct and complies with the pricing, terms and conditions of the contract; and
4. The total payments do not exceed the contract limits.

If a Contract Administrator disputes a payment, the accounts payable office will be notified and payment withheld until a Contractor Administrator, with input from a Contract Manager, is satisfied with the contract performance. (See next section.)
Payments must be made in accordance with Texas Government Code Chapter 2251 Payment for Goods and Services providing for payment of invoices within 30 days unless the contract performance is in dispute. The invoice payment date is determined by the later of the date that a correct invoice is received, or the date goods are received or the performance of services was completed.

Advance payments are only permissible if necessary and serves a public purpose.

1. Books and library materials for an institution;
2. Cost savings recognized by an institution;
3. Expedited delivery;
4. Lease costs;
5. Penalties;
6. Purchase of real property;
7. Specialized goods or services;
8. Subscriptions; and

Each institution shall develop and maintain an Operating Policy and Procedure addressing payment approvals.

K. Withholding Payment

The Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office is responsible to protect the interests of an institution and under appropriate circumstances, it may be necessary to withhold payments from contractors. Circumstances where it may be necessary to withhold payment include, but are not limited to:

1. There is a material breach of the contract by a contractor;
2. Errors in the invoice;
3. Unsupported or undocumented costs;
4. To remedy previous overpayments on the same contract; and
5. Contractor’s performance is non-conforming or unacceptable.

L. Contract Changes

Throughout the term of the contract it may become necessary to make changes to the contract. These changes can be minor, administrative changes such as a change of address, or they can be substantial changes that affect the price, delivery and other material provisions.

Changes can be by mutual agreement, in which all parties to the contract agree in writing that a modification is necessary to alter a provision of the contract. Contact the Office of General Counsel for assistance in amending the contract.

Failure to manage and control changes can result in an unintended modification to the SOW, extension of the schedule, increase in the contract cost, circumvention of the procurement process, and diminished contractor accountability. An effective change management process may include, but is not limited to:

1. Formal, written approval by all parties of contracts changes prior to the change taking place;
2. Evaluation of the impact of each change to the contract objective;
3. If the contract contains a contingency allowance, a plan for deductions against this allowance will be requested and approved in writing;
4. Formal documentation of all changes in compliance with an institution’s operating policies and procedures; and
5. Purchasing Office or Contracting Office review and authorization any formal Change Order document.

M. Contract Change Types

1. Material Contract Changes

Whether or not a change is considered a material change to the contract depends upon the solicitation process, and the contract. The contract and any amendments must be consistent with the specifications, the procurement cooperative program requirements, or be allowed by TSUS Rules and Regulations and institutional operating policies and procedures.

If a change is needed to a contract that was competitively solicited, the change must be within the scope of the solicitation.

In order to determine what constitutes a change to the specifications, a Contract Manager will determine whether the changes are material. Material changes are measured by whether the changes would substantially alter the original specifications. Where there is a need for material changes, the Chief Procurement Officer of an institution will evaluate the changes and determine if there is a need to resolicit to allow for fair competition.

2. Administrative Changes

These are changes that are within the scope of the contract and do not affect or alter the rights of the parties. These changes are typically executed via a bilateral amendment.

Examples of administrative changes include:

1. Changes in billing or contact information;
2. Corrections of typographical errors not affecting the substance of the contract;
3. Changes as permitted by the specific contract language; and
4. Changes in institution personnel assigned to the contract.

3. Substantive Changes

These are changes to a contract that affect the rights of both parties. Such changes generally require bilateral amendments.

Examples of substantive changes include:

1. Change in the price of the contract;
2. Change in the delivery schedule;
3. Change in the quantity;
4. Change or nature of deliverables;
5. Change in SOW that is non-material;
6. Change of key personnel by the contractor; and
7. Change of any terms and conditions (administrative changes excluded).

4. Constructive Changes

If a contractor perceives that work beyond the scope of the contract was ordered by the institution, the contractor may claim that the contract was “constructively” changed, and the contractor may be entitled to additional compensation for the changes. A constructive change will require a bilateral amendment or Change Order.
Constructive changes may occur when institution personnel:

1. Provide suggestions to a contractor;
2. Accelerate the delivery schedule;
3. Direct the work to be performed differently;
4. Change the sequencing of the work;
5. Delay accepting or rejecting deliverables;
6. Delay reviewing invoices and approving payment; and
7. Interfere with or hinders performance.

The Contract Administrator is responsible for managing the performance of a contract and is charged with managing the contract in a way to prevent constructive changes.

N. Dispute Resolution

Dispute resolution is governed by Texas Government Code Chapter 2260 for certain contract claims against an institution and the state. The goal of any dispute resolution process is to resolve problems before these escalate to the next level. To avoid escalation, and avoid institution personnel actions exacerbating potential problems, it is imperative that the Contract Administrator respond promptly to all contractor inquiries by taking the following initial steps including, but not limited to:

1. Identify the problem - many times what may appear to be a problem can be resolved by providing a contractor with information or clarification.
2. Report – a Contract Administrator shall report the issue to the Contract Manager even in cases where action may not be required from the Contract Manager.
3. Research facts – obtain information regarding the potential problem from all relevant sources including members of the Contract/Evaluation Review Team and the contractor. This resulting information will be maintained in the contract file.
4. Evaluation – review the facts in conjunction with the requirements and terms and conditions of the contract. A Contract Manager, in consultation with Office of General Counsel and the Contract/Evaluation Review Team, will then determine an appropriate course of action.

O. Termination for Default Notifications

Prior to terminating a Contract for default, a cure notice should be sent to the contractor if the parties so agreed in the contract. A cure notice letter allows a contractor to have a defined period of time to “cure” the deficiency or violation. The Contract Administrator and Contract Manager shall consult with the Chief Procurement Officer who shall then consult with the Office of General Counsel in his or her discretion before sending cure notices.

If the contractor fails to cure the situation or provide a satisfactory explanation as requested and within the time allowed, the contract may be terminated by sending a notice of termination containing at least the following information:

1. The contract number or Purchase Order number;
2. The date of contract or Purchase Order;
3. The effective date of termination;
4. Reference to the clause under which the contract is being terminated;
5. A concise, accurate statement of the facts justifying the termination; and
6. A statement that the goods or services associated with a contract being terminated may be solicited and that the contractor may be held liable for additional costs (if permissible under the contract).
P. Termination

When a contract is terminated, the parties are relieved from further unperformed obligations in accordance with the agreed terms and conditions. A contract may be terminated under the following processes.

1. Termination For Convenience

A termination for convenience, also known as no-fault termination (or "without cause"), allows an institution to terminate a contract, in whole or in part, at any time in its sole discretion, if it is determined that such termination is in the best interest of the institution.

The Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office shall provide a contractor with written notice specifying whether an institution is terminating all or part of the contract. The notice of termination shall give the effective date of termination. If the contract is being selectively terminated, the notice shall specify which part(s) of the contract are being terminated.

It is recommended that institutions include a no-fault termination clause in the solicitation documents and the contract. There may be circumstances in which an institution should not enter into a contract with a no-fault termination clause.

If the institution includes a no-fault cancellation clause, it is important to include language that the institution will not be liable for anticipated profits, unabsorbed overhead, or interest on borrowing.

2. Termination for Default

A contract may be terminated for default (or "with cause") when an institution concludes that a contractor has failed to perform, make progress, or has otherwise breached the contract. An institution is not required to terminate a contract even though the circumstances permit such action. The Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office may determine that it is in the institution's best interest to pursue other alternatives. Examples of such alternatives include extending the delivery or completion date, allowing a contractor to continue performance, or working with a contractor's surety to complete the outstanding work.

Conversely, a contractor may also have the right to terminate a contract for default if an institution fails to perform.

Termination for default should be used as last resort and not as punishment. The purpose of a termination for default is essentially to protect the interests of an institution.

Factors to consider prior to making a termination for default decision include, but are not limited to:

1. Has the institution done everything within reason to assist the contractor in curing any default?
2. The provisions of the contract and applicable regulations.
3. The specific contractual failure(s) and the explanation provided for the failures.
4. The urgency of the need for the contracted goods or services. An institution may need to weigh the respective benefits or disadvantages of allowing a delinquent contractor to continue performance or re-soliciting a new contractor.
5. The availability of the goods or services from other sources and the time required to obtain them (compared to the additional time the current contractor needs to complete the work).
6. Availability of funds or resources to re-purchase in the event such costs cannot be recovered from the delinquent contractor. Under a termination for default, the institution is within its rights to demand additional costs from the defaulting contractor. Nevertheless, a contractor may not
be financially capable to finance the costs or such demand may result in protracted legal action.

A contract must describe in detail the default obligations of the parties. A defaulting party may have additional financial obligations to the other party.

3. Force Majeure Termination

A contract shall not be terminated for default when the failure to perform is due to excusable causes. In order to qualify as an excusable cause, the cause must be beyond the control, and without the fault or negligence of the defaulting party. Such excusable causes include, but are not limited to:

1. Acts of God or a public enemy.
3. Fire.
4. Floods.
5. Epidemics.
7. Freight embargos.
8. Unusually severe weather.
   Severe weather, although beyond the control of either party, will not generally constitute an excusable delay if it is not considered “unusually severe weather”. For example, a snow storm in Amarillo in February would not be considered unusual, while it would be considered unusual in Austin. On the other hand, a snow storm in Amarillo in June would be unusual.

If a contractor’s failure to perform is due to the default of a subcontractor, in order to qualify as an excusable cause, the default must arise out of causes beyond the control and without the fault or negligence of both the contractor and the subcontractor. Even if this requirement is met, the cause will not be excusable if the goods or services to be provided by the subcontractor could have been obtained from other sources in time to meet the contract delivery schedule.

Q. Institution Reporting of Contracting/Purchase Order Information

Texas Government Code § 2261.253 requires the institution to post on its internet website a listing of contracts/purchase orders for the procurement of goods or services from a private contractor over $15,000 for the public to view. The posting shall include:

1. Each contract the institution enters into until the contract expires or is completed, including contracts entered into without inviting, advertising for, or otherwise requiring competitive bidding before selection of the contractor;
2. For contracts that are not competitively Bid or are entered into without compliance with competitive bidding procedures, the statutory or other authority under which the contract was awarded and executed; and
3. For contracts that were the subject of competitive procurement, the Request for Proposal or Invitation for Bid until the contract expires or is completed.

Request for contract/purchase documentation may be obtained by contacting the institutions Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office.

An institution monthly may post contracts that are valued at less than $15,000.

Each institution by rule shall establish a procedure to identify each contract that requires enhanced contract or performance monitoring and submit information on the contract to TSUS’s Office of Finance. The institutions’s contract management office or procurement director shall immediately
notify the Office of Finance or governing official, as appropriate, of any serious issue or risk that is identified with respect to a contract monitored under this subsection.

*Texas Government Code § 2261.253*, does not apply to a memorandum of understanding, interagency contract, interlocal agreement, or contract for which there is not a cost.

In accordance with *Texas Government Code § 2261.254*, each institution shall develop and implement contract reporting requirements for each contract for the purchase of goods or services that has a value exceeding **$1,000,000**. Each institution must provide information to its Chief Financial Officer on:

1. compliance with financial provisions and delivery schedules under the contract,
2. corrective action plans required under the contract and the status of any active corrective action plan, and
3. information about any Liquidated Damages assessed or collected under the contract.

In addition, each institution shall verify the:

1. accuracy of any information reported about the contract is based on information provided by a contractor &
2. receipt showing delivery of goods or services scheduled under the contract.

In accordance with *Texas Government Code § 2261.255* the institution’s Chief Procurement Officer must report the following information to the institution’s Chief Financial Officer and the System’s Chief Financial Officer for contracts with a value exceeding **$5,000,000**:

1. Verification from the Chief Procurement Officer that the solicitation method and contractor selection process complies with state law and institution policy; and
2. Submit to the TSUS Office of Finance information on any potential issue that may arise in the solicitation, procurement, or contractor selection process.

**R. Institution Reporting to the Legislative Budget Board (LBB)**

Recent changes to statute and the General Appropriations Act (GAA) affect how our institutions report contracts to the Legislative Budget Board (LBB). LBB staff does not have an approval role but is required to notify the LBB, the Governor, and Comptroller regarding any unmitigated risks.

Contract reporting will be done through the LBB Contracts Database, regardless of the type of contract being reported. The new LBB Contracts Database was released September 1, 2015 and includes enhanced controls over data entry, additional fields for analysis, and improved consistency with The Comptroller’s Centralized Accounting and Payroll/Personnel System (CAPPS) contract data fields.

In general, our institutions are required to report the various types of contracts to the LBB. For Construction contracts signed by the System Office, the Office of Contract Administration will be responsible for applicable reporting. The following chart addresses the various types of contracts the LBB requires institutions to report, see next page.
### LBB Contract Reporting Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF CONTRACT</th>
<th>VALUE THRESHOLD</th>
<th>REPORTING TIMEFRAME</th>
<th>CITATION</th>
<th>SOURCE OF FUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>&gt; $14,000</td>
<td>10 days after award</td>
<td>2254.006, 2254.0301 Government Code</td>
<td>All Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>&gt; $14,000</td>
<td>10 days after award</td>
<td>2166.2551 Government Code</td>
<td>All Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Information Systems</td>
<td>&gt; $1,000,000</td>
<td>10 days after award</td>
<td>2054.008 Government Code</td>
<td>All Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>&gt; $50,000</td>
<td>End of fiscal year</td>
<td>GAA Article IX, Sec 7.04</td>
<td>Appropriated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Competitive/ Sole Source</td>
<td>&gt; $1,000,000</td>
<td>10 days before payment</td>
<td>GAA Article IX, Sec 7.12</td>
<td>Appropriated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency</td>
<td>&gt; $1,000,000</td>
<td>48 hours after payment</td>
<td>GAA Article IX, Sec 7.12</td>
<td>Appropriated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>&gt; $10,000,000</td>
<td>10 days before payment</td>
<td>GAA Article IX, Sec 7.12</td>
<td>Appropriated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Higher Ed is not required to report contracts for Consulting Services.
S. **Contract File**

Institutions are required to maintain documentation related to each contract. Under Texas Government Code § 441.1855 each institution:

1. shall retain records of each contract entered into by the institution and all related contract solicitation documents; and
2. may destroy the contract and supporting documentation only after the **seventh anniversary** of the date:

   A. the contract is completed or expires; or
   B. all issues that arise from any litigation, claim, negotiation, audit, open records request, administrative review, or other action involving the contract or supporting documentation are resolved.

This retention period for contracts and associated documents applies notwithstanding an institution’s retention schedule.

T. **Documentation File**

The Chief Procurement Officer at each institution shall develop a plan for procurement and contracting file contents, which should be stored electronically as resources allow.

Generally, it is recommended that the following documents are retained in a central repository in the Procurement/Purchasing Office or Contracting Office:

1. A copy of the current contract and all amendments.
2. The solicitation document, the contractor’s response, evaluation determination, and the notice of award document.
3. A list of institutional furnished property or services.
4. A copy of the pre-award conference summary, if conducted.
5. A copy of all general correspondence related to the contract;
6. A copy of correspondence with the Office of the General Council
7. A copy of all routine reports required by the contract.
8. A copy of all notices to proceed, stop work orders, deficiency notices, or Change Orders.
9. The records and minutes of all meetings, both internal and external. Include sign-in sheets and agendas.
10. A copy of all contractor invoices, credit memos, information relative to discount provisions for prompt payment, letters pertaining to contract deductions or fee adjustments; a copy of all backup documentation for contractor payment or progress payment.

Because of limited storage resources, the following contract documentation may be retained in the contract Administrator’s office or stored electronically as resources allow:

1. A copy of all specifications, drawings or manuals incorporated into the contract by reference;
2. A list of contractor submittal requirements;
3. A schedule of compliance review, internal correspondence, if applicable;
4. A copy of all general correspondence related to the contract issued from the Contract Administrator;
5. The originals of all contractor data or report submittals;
6. A copy of all routine reports required by the contract;
7. A copy of all letters of approval pertaining to such matters as materials, the contractor’s quality control program, prospective employees, and work schedules;
8. The records and minutes of all meetings, both internal and external. Include sign-in sheets or agendas; and
The purpose of the Contract Close-out process is to confirm that both parties to the contract have fulfilled all contractual obligations. In addition, Contract Close-out is the time to assess the success of the contract and recognize any process improvements for future contracts. The Chief Procurement Officer at each institution shall determine the appropriate contract threshold that requires a formal Contract Close-out.

To initiate the close-out process, an institution should first determine that a contractor has substantially performed all required contractual obligations.

A contract is completed when all goods or services have been received and accepted; all deliverables have been accepted; all administrative actions have been accomplished; and all institutional furnished equipment and materials have been returned.

Upon confirmation of completion, an institution shall make final payment to a contractor. Final payment shall not be made until all compliance and corrective actions have been successfully completed and the Contract Administrator or his or her designee has provided final acceptance.

**Reporting Contractor Performance**

Upon completion or termination of a contract, and as part of the close-out process, each institution may review the contractor’s performance and report to the Comptroller using the Vendor Performance Tracking System VPTS in accordance with Texas Government Code § 2155.089 and § 2262.055.

An institution may report contractor’s performance on any purchase of $25,000 or more including delegated purchases, TPASS Contracts, and Exempt Purchases. 34 TAC, Title 34, Part 1, Chapter 20, Subchapter C, Rule §20.108.
The Texas Public Information Act, Texas Government Code Chapter 552 (the TPIA), gives the public the right to request access to government information. All information written, provided, collected, assembled or maintained under a law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by or for a governmental body, including TSUS and its institutions, is public information subject to disclosure under the provisions of the TPIA, unless the information is confidential by law or falls within the TPIA’s specified exceptions. Under the TPIA, the failure of good faith compliance with its disclosure and/or withholding requirements can result in civil and criminal penalties. Below is a description of the basic procedures, rights and responsibilities under the TPIA.

A. Authority

The Chief Executive Officer of TSUS and each of its institutions is its Public Information Officer (PIO). The PIO may designate a Public Information Coordinator (PIC) to receive, process and respond to TPIA requests. In the event a PIO or PIC determines that information responsive to a TPIA request is confidential, the Office of General Counsel must be notified.

An institution’s President is authorized to request an opinion of Office of the Attorney General (“OAG”) under the TPIA to withhold information that relates exclusively to the institution. The Chancellor is authorized to request an opinion of the OAG to withhold information that relates to the System Administration or two or more TSUS institutions. Such requests will be submitted through the Vice Chancellor and General Counsel or his/her designee.

B. Making a Request

All requests to view or copy TSUS’s institutions’ or System Administration’s public information must be in writing and should be addressed to its PIO/PIC. Neither TSUS nor its institutions accept or respond to oral requests for public information. If an oral request is received, the requesting party should be directed to address the request in writing to the institution’s PIO/PIC. Requests submitted via email or fax transmission must be sent to the System Administration’s or institution’s designated email address or fax number. The System Administration’s and each institution’s website will include the contact information for its PIO or PIC, including the designated email and fax number to receive public information requests.

Note: A written request received by an institution via U.S. mail or hand delivery but not addressed specifically to its PIO/PIC is still valid and remains subject to a ten (10) business day time limit (from the date of receipt) to seek an OAG opinion on disclosure and to meet additional statutory deadlines under the TPIA.

C. Charges to the Requestor

A person may ask to view the information, get copies of the information, or both. If a request is for copies of information, an institution may charge for the copies. If a request is only for an opportunity to inspect information, then usually an institution may not impose a charge on the requestor. All charges imposed by an institution for copies or for access to information must comply with the rules prescribed by the OAG.
Construction contracts information can be viewed at the following TSUS website in the *Planning/Construction Policies & Procedures Manual* section:

TSUS Policies and Disclosures
**CONTRACT CHECKLIST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor Name:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contract Number/Purchase Order Number:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Contract Amount (original term):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Contract Amount:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Term:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewal Description:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Administrator (Dept):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Manager (Procurement):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The **Contract Checklist** shall be used by the Contract Manager to verify compliance with contract requirements.

This checklist should be used on the following contracts:
- Purchase Orders/Contracts in excess of $1,000,000.
- Contracts in excess of $25,000 that require competitive solicitation
- Contracts that require Chancellor or Board of Regents approval

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solicitation Phase</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Date/Initial</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Solicitation request form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Solicitation method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Post in ESBD/SciQuest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Response evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Number of responses received</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Solicitation tabulation complete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Financial Summary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. <em>Non-Disclosure and Conflict of Interest</em> form received from all Contract Review Team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Response evaluation forms received from all contract Review Team members</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Response clarification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. BAFO documentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. BAFO evaluation form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Intent to award letter to successful respondent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. HUB Subcontract Required forms received (above $100,000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. BOR Compliance  BOR Item#: ________________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Above $1,000,000 per annum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Consultant Contract in excess of $25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Commitment of resources for more than 4 years without out-clause</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Initial placement of vending machines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Issue contract number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Prepare file (if required)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Contracting legal review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Performance bond (if required)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Insurance certificate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Payment bond (if required)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Contract data entered into Contract Manager (CM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Specialized contracts (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Outside counsel contracts—Attorney General approval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Audit contracts—State Auditor’s Office approval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>IT contracts—VPIT documentation and review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Texas Ethics Commission disclosure (above $1,000,000 or BOR approval required)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Review and Approve by Procurement/Purchasing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Above $500,000 Vice Chancellor and General Counsel review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Termination notice date:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of days notification requirement:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Final review of contract manager record</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Report Form for Contracts Requiring Enhanced Contract Monitoring above $1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Distribute contract to stakeholders and contractor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Solicitation Completion Phase (if applicable)**

| 21. | Post award information to SciQuest Sourcing and ESBD |