**CAUSE NO. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ § IN THE JUSTICE COURT

Plaintiff §

§

v. § PRECINCT \_\_\_\_

§

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ §

garnishee § \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ COUNTY, TEXAS

**JUDGMENT DISCHARGING GARNISHEE**

On \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, the court reviewed the sworn answer of Garnishee to the writ of garnishment in this case.

It appears to the court from the answer that Garnishee was not indebted to \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, Defendant in the original action, when the writ of garnishment was served on Garnishee.

It further appears from the answer that Garnishee does not have any effects of Defendant in its possession and did not have any effects in its possession when the writ of garnishment was served.

In its answer Garnishee has denied having knowledge of any other persons who are indebted to Defendant or who have effects in their possession that belong to Defendant.

Garnishee’s answer is satisfactory in all respects and has not been controverted by Plaintiff or Defendant; it is therefore **ORDERED** that\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, Garnishee, is discharged from the writ of garnishment; and

it is further **ORDERED** that Garnishee shall recover from Plaintiff its costs in the amount of $\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ for filing its answer in this case.

**ISSUED AND SIGNED** on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE, PRECINCT \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ COUNTY, TEXAS