

Logout as: James Van Overbeek, Texas State University (2021) (2022)

Main Menu

Standard Certification

Intern

Probationary

ASEP

Upload Observations

Observations

Observations Report

Field Supervisors

Get Educator Data

Upload Admissions

Admissions Search

Waitlist Admissions

Upload Finishers

Waitlist Finishers

Student Search

Upload Status

Test Search

View Pass Rates

Other Reports

Supervisor Ratio

Annual Performance Report

Cert License IDs

Archived ASEP

Test Approval

Career & Technology

Approved Programs

Educator Information

Satisfaction Survey

User Profile

File Transfer

EPP Candidate Exit Survey Responses For All Survey Questions , For the Academic Year 2017		Texas State University (EPP) (16560) (Total)	Statewide (Total Surveys 22821)
Q 1.	The type of educator preparation program that I am involved in is:	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Alternative Certification Program.	0%	60%
	• Post-Baccalaureate Program.	13%	4%
	• Traditional Undergraduate University Based Program.	87%	35%
Q 2.	Were you employed as a beginning teacher (teacher of record) during the current or previous academic year?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Yes	7%	59%
	• No	93%	41%
Q 3.	The area in which your current teaching assignment is located is best described as (choose one):	694 responses	22821 responses
	• rural	12%	19%
	• suburban/urban fringe	55%	39%
	• urban	26%	39%
	• Other (please specify)	7%	3%
Q 4.	To what extent were you prepared to effectively implement the discipline-management procedures approved by the campus?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	74%	68%
	• Sufficiently prepared	24%	29%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	2%	2%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 5.	To what extent were you prepared to communicate clear expectations for achievement and behavior that promote and encourage self-discipline and self-directed learning?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	81%	74%
	• Sufficiently prepared	19%	25%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	1%	1%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 6.	To what extent were you prepared to provide support to achieve a positive, equitable, and engaging learning environment?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	88%	79%
	• Sufficiently prepared	12%	20%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	0%	1%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 7.	To what extent were you prepared to build and maintain positive rapport with students?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	92%	83%
	• Sufficiently prepared	8%	16%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	0%	1%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 8.	To what extent were you prepared to build and maintain positive rapport and two-way communication with students' families?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	67%	68%
	• Sufficiently prepared	29%	29%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	4%	3%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 9.	To what extent were you prepared to implement varied instruction that integrates critical thinking, inquiry, and problem solving?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	80%	69%
	• Sufficiently prepared	19%	29%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	1%	2%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 10.	To what extent were you prepared to respond to the needs of students by being flexible in instructional approach and differentiating instruction?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	83%	71%
	• Sufficiently prepared	16%	27%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	1%	2%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 11.	To what extent were you prepared to use the results of formative assessment data to guide instruction?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	70%	65%
	• Sufficiently prepared	28%	32%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	1%	3%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 12.	To what extent were you prepared to engage and motivate students through learner-centered instruction?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	81%	74%
	• Sufficiently prepared	19%	24%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	0%	2%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 13.	To what extent were you prepared to integrate effective modeling, questioning, and self-reflection (self-assessment) strategies into instruction?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	82%	73%
	• Sufficiently prepared	17%	26%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	0%	2%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 14.	To what extent were you prepared to assume various roles in the instructional process (e.g. instructor, facilitator, audience)?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	79%	73%
	• Sufficiently prepared	21%	26%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	0%	2%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 15.	To what extent were you prepared to set clear learning goals and align instruction with standards based content?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	83%	74%
	• Sufficiently prepared	17%	24%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	1%	2%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 16.	To what extent were you prepared to provide quality and timely feedback to students?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	82%	74%
	• Sufficiently prepared	18%	24%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	0%	1%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 17.	Did you have students with disabilities in your classroom as determined by the Texas Administrative Code §89.1001? A child is considered a student with disabilities if he or she has a physical, cognitive, behavioral, or other related impairment.	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Yes	83%	84%
	• No	17%	16%
Q 18.	To what extent were you prepared to differentiate instruction to meet the academic needs of students with disabilities?	576 responses	19183 responses
	• Well prepared	61%	56%
	• Sufficiently prepared	35%	39%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	4%	5%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 19.	To what extent were you prepared to differentiate instruction to meet the behavioral needs of students with disabilities?	576 responses	19183 responses
	• Well prepared	63%	56%
	• Sufficiently prepared	34%	38%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	3%	5%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 20.	To what extent were you prepared to provide appropriate ways for students with disabilities to demonstrate their learning?	576 responses	19183 responses
	• Well prepared	64%	58%
	• Sufficiently prepared	32%	37%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	4%	5%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 21.	To what extent were you prepared to understand and adhere to the federal and state laws that govern special education services?	576 responses	19183 responses
	• Well prepared	61%	64%
	• Sufficiently prepared	35%	32%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	3%	3%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 22.	To what extent were you prepared to make appropriate decisions (e.g., when and how to make accommodations and/or modifications to instruction, assessment, materials, delivery, and classroom procedures) to meet the learning needs of students who have an Individualized Education Program (IEP)?	576 responses	19183 responses
	• Well prepared	62%	60%
	• Sufficiently prepared	34%	35%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	3%	5%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 23.	To what extent were you prepared to develop and/or implement formal assessments and informal assessments that track students' progress toward IEP goals and objectives?	576 responses	19183 responses
	• Well prepared	62%	57%
	• Sufficiently prepared	32%	36%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	5%	6%
	• Not at all prepared	1%	1%
Q 24.	To what extent were you prepared to collaborate with others, such as para-educators and other teachers, in meeting the academic, developmental, and behavioral needs of students with disabilities?	576 responses	19183 responses
	• Well prepared	71%	68%
	• Sufficiently prepared	26%	29%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	3%	3%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 25.	Did you have limited English (LEP-ELL) students in your classroom? A student is considered LEP-ELL if he or she has a primary language other than English and whose English language skills are such that the student has difficulty performing ordinary coursework in English, as determined by Texas Education Code (TEC) §29.052.	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Yes	73%	74%
	• No	27%	26%
Q 26.	To what extent were you prepared to provide appropriate ways for LEP-ELL students to demonstrate their learning?	507 responses	16863 responses
	• Well prepared	68%	60%
	• Sufficiently prepared	30%	35%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	3%	5%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 27.	To what extent were you prepared to understand and adhere to federal and state laws that govern education services for LEP-ELL students?	507 responses	16863 responses
	• Well prepared	68%	63%
	• Sufficiently prepared	28%	33%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	4%	4%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 28.	To what extent were you prepared to comply with district and campus policies and procedures regarding LEP-ELL students?	507 responses	16863 responses
	• Well prepared	71%	65%
	• Sufficiently prepared	26%	32%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	3%	3%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 29.	To what extent were you prepared to support LEP-ELL students in mastering the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS), including the English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS)?	507 responses	16863 responses
	• Well prepared	70%	61%
	• Sufficiently prepared	27%	34%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	3%	4%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 30.	To what extent were you prepared to model and teach the forms and functions of academic English in content areas?	507 responses	16863 responses
	• Well prepared	72%	64%
	• Sufficiently prepared	25%	32%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	3%	4%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 31.	To what extent were you prepared to use technology available on the campus to integrate curriculum TEKS and Technology Applications TEKS to support student learning?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	78%	71%
	• Sufficiently prepared	22%	26%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	0%	3%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 32.	To what extent were you prepared to provide technology based classroom learning opportunities that allow students to interact with real-time and/or online content?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	73%	67%
	• Sufficiently prepared	25%	29%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	1%	4%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 33.	To what extent were you prepared to teach students developmentally appropriate technology skills?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	70%	66%
	• Sufficiently prepared	28%	31%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	2%	3%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 34.	To what extent were you prepared to use technology to make learning more active and engaging for students?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	81%	72%
	• Sufficiently prepared	18%	25%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	1%	2%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 35.	To what extent were you prepared to use available technology to collect, manage, and analyze student data using software programs (such as Excel or an electronic grade book)?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	58%	61%
	• Sufficiently prepared	32%	33%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	8%	5%
	• Not at all prepared	1%	1%
Q 36.	To what extent were you prepared to use available technology to collect, manage, and analyze data from multiple sources in order to interpret learning results for students?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	59%	60%
	• Sufficiently prepared	32%	34%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	8%	6%
	• Not at all prepared	1%	1%
Q 37.	To what extent were you prepared to use available technology to document student learning to determine when an intervention is necessary and appropriate?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	61%	60%
	• Sufficiently prepared	30%	34%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	8%	6%
	• Not at all prepared	1%	1%
Q 38.	To what extent were you prepared to use available technology to collect and manage formative assessment data to guide instruction?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Well prepared	61%	61%
	• Sufficiently prepared	31%	33%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	7%	5%
	• Not at all prepared	1%	1%
Q 39.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor share with you the expectations for your performance in the classroom before each observation?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	81%	75%
	• Frequently.	14%	18%
	• Occasionally.	4%	5%
	• Rarely.	1%	2%
Q 40.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor base observation feedback on the expectations for your performance in the classroom?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	87%	82%
	• Frequently.	12%	15%
	• Occasionally.	1%	3%
	• Rarely.	0%	1%
Q 41.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor provide you with a written report or checklist of his/her observation of your performance in the classroom?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	86%	84%
	• Frequently.	10%	12%
	• Occasionally.	2%	3%
	• Rarely.	2%	1%
Q 42.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor offer feedback on your performance in the classroom within one week of each observation?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	88%	87%
	• Frequently.	9%	10%
	• Occasionally.	3%	2%
	• Rarely.	1%	1%
Q 43.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor include specific strategies that address your strengths and weaknesses in his/her feedback about your performance in the classroom?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	83%	81%
	• Frequently.	13%	15%
	• Occasionally.	4%	4%
	• Rarely.	0%	1%
Q 44.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor hold an interactive conference with you after each observation?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	88%	81%
	• Frequently.	9%	13%
	• Occasionally.	3%	4%
	• Rarely.	1%	2%
Q 45.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor help you solve problems, make specific recommendations for improvement or act as your advocate?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	80%	76%
	• Frequently.	14%	17%
	• Occasionally.	5%	5%
	• Rarely.	1%	2%
Q 46.	Did you ever communicate with your Field Supervisor by email, text, or telephone call?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Yes	100%	99%
	• No	0%	1%
Q 47.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor respond to your communications, for example email, text, or telephone call, within two school/business days?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	86%	86%
	• Frequently.	12%	12%
	• Occasionally.	2%	2%
	• Rarely.	0%	1%
Q 48.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor offer you opportunities to reflect on your performance in the classroom?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	88%	81%
	• Frequently.	10%	15%
	• Occasionally.	2%	4%
	• Rarely.	0%	1%
Q 49.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor provide multiple means for you to communicate with him/her, such as email, telephone, texting, videoconferencing, or face-to-face interaction?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	88%	85%
	• Frequently.	10%	12%
	• Occasionally.	2%	3%
	• Rarely.	0%	1%
Q 50.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor ask you for ways he/she can support you?	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	78%	78%
	• Frequently.	16%	16%
	• Occasionally.	5%	4%
	• Rarely.	1%	2%
Q 51.	The Field Supervisor FORMALLY observed me teaching a minimum of three times.	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Yes	100%	99%
	• No	0%	1%
Q 52.	The Field Supervisor observed me teaching for a minimum of 45 minutes during at least three of my FORMAL observations.	694 responses	22821 responses
	• Yes	99%	99%
	• No	1%	1%
Q 53.	What is your overall evaluation of how well the educator preparation program prepared you? Select the one statement that most closely matches your current overall perspective on the program.	694 responses	22821 responses
	• I was well prepared by the program for the first year of teaching.	80%	72%
	• I was sufficiently prepared by the program for the first year of teaching.	19%	26%
	• I was not sufficiently prepared by the program for the first year of teaching.	1%	1%
	• I was not at all prepared by the program for the first year of teaching.	0%	0%