

Logout as: James Van Overholde, Texas State University (2021) (10552)

Main Menu

- Standard Certification
- Intern
- Probationary
- ASEP
- Upload Observations
- Observations
- Observations Report
- Field Supervisors
- Get Educator Data
- Upload Admissions
- Admissions Search
- Merit Admissions
- Upload Finishers
- Merit Finishers
- Student Search
- Upload Status
- Test Search
- View Pass Rates
- Other Reports
- Supervisor Ratio
- Annual Performance Report
- Cert License IDs
- Archived ASEP
- Test Approval
- Career & Technology
- Approved Programs
- Educator Information
- Satisfaction Survey
- User Profile
- File Transfer

	EPP Candidate Exit Survey Responses For All Survey Questions , For the Academic Year 2016	Texas State University (EPP) (16560) (Total)	Statewide (Total Surveys 21748)
Q 1.	The type of educator preparation program that I am involved in is:	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Alternative Certification Program.	1%	57%
	• Post-Baccalaureate Program.	14%	5%
	• Traditional Undergraduate University Based Program.	85%	38%
Q 2.	Were you employed as a beginning teacher (teacher of record) during the current or previous academic year?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Yes	9%	56%
	• No	91%	44%
Q 3.	The area in which your current teaching assignment is located is best described as (choose one):	657 responses	21748 responses
	• rural	11%	19%
	• suburban/urban fringe	56%	40%
	• urban	26%	38%
	• Other (please specify)	7%	3%
Q 4.	To what extent were you prepared to effectively implement the discipline-management procedures approved by the campus?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	76%	70%
	• Sufficiently prepared	23%	28%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	1%	2%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 5.	To what extent were you prepared to communicate clear expectations for achievement and behavior that promote and encourage self-discipline and self-directed learning?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	82%	75%
	• Sufficiently prepared	18%	24%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	0%	1%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 6.	To what extent were you prepared to provide support to achieve a positive, equitable, and engaging learning environment?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	90%	80%
	• Sufficiently prepared	10%	19%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	0%	1%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 7.	To what extent were you prepared to build and maintain positive rapport with students?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	92%	84%
	• Sufficiently prepared	8%	15%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	0%	1%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 8.	To what extent were you prepared to build and maintain positive rapport and two-way communication with students' families?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	70%	69%
	• Sufficiently prepared	26%	28%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	4%	2%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 9.	To what extent were you prepared to implement varied instruction that integrates critical thinking, inquiry, and problem solving?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	77%	71%
	• Sufficiently prepared	23%	28%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	0%	2%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 10.	To what extent were you prepared to respond to the needs of students by being flexible in instructional approach and differentiating instruction?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	84%	73%
	• Sufficiently prepared	15%	25%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	1%	2%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 11.	To what extent were you prepared to use the results of formative assessment data to guide instruction?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	70%	65%
	• Sufficiently prepared	28%	32%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	2%	3%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 12.	To what extent were you prepared to engage and motivate students through learner-centered instruction?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	84%	76%
	• Sufficiently prepared	15%	23%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	0%	1%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 13.	To what extent were you prepared to integrate effective modeling, questioning, and self-reflection (self-assessment) strategies into instruction?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	84%	74%
	• Sufficiently prepared	16%	25%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	0%	1%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 14.	To what extent were you prepared to assume various roles in the instructional process (e.g. instructor, facilitator, audience)?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	79%	74%
	• Sufficiently prepared	20%	25%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	0%	1%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 15.	To what extent were you prepared to set clear learning goals and align instruction with standards based content?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	84%	76%
	• Sufficiently prepared	15%	23%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	0%	1%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 16.	To what extent were you prepared to provide quality and timely feedback to students?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	83%	76%
	• Sufficiently prepared	16%	23%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	1%	1%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 17.	Did you have students with disabilities in your classroom as determined by the Texas Administrative Code §89.1001? A child is considered a student with disabilities if he or she has a physical, cognitive, behavioral, or other related impairment.	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Yes	85%	83%
	• No	15%	17%
Q 18.	To what extent were you prepared to differentiate instruction to meet the academic needs of students with disabilities?	558 responses	18058 responses
	• Well prepared	62%	56%
	• Sufficiently prepared	34%	38%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	4%	5%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 19.	To what extent were you prepared to differentiate instruction to meet the behavioral needs of students with disabilities?	558 responses	18058 responses
	• Well prepared	61%	57%
	• Sufficiently prepared	36%	38%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	3%	5%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 20.	To what extent were you prepared to provide appropriate ways for students with disabilities to demonstrate their learning?	558 responses	18058 responses
	• Well prepared	62%	59%
	• Sufficiently prepared	33%	37%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	4%	5%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 21.	To what extent were you prepared to understand and adhere to the federal and state laws that govern special education services?	558 responses	18058 responses
	• Well prepared	66%	64%
	• Sufficiently prepared	29%	32%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	4%	3%
	• Not at all prepared	1%	0%
Q 22.	To what extent were you prepared to make appropriate decisions (e.g., when and how to make accommodations and/or modifications to instruction, assessment, materials, delivery, and classroom procedures) to meet the learning needs of students who have an Individualized Education Program (IEP)?	558 responses	18058 responses
	• Well prepared	63%	60%
	• Sufficiently prepared	34%	35%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	2%	4%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 23.	To what extent were you prepared to develop and/or implement formal assessments and informal assessments that track students' progress toward IEP goals and objectives?	558 responses	18058 responses
	• Well prepared	61%	58%
	• Sufficiently prepared	34%	36%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	5%	6%
	• Not at all prepared	1%	0%
Q 24.	To what extent were you prepared to collaborate with others, such as para-educators and other teachers, in meeting the academic, developmental, and behavioral needs of students with disabilities?	558 responses	18058 responses
	• Well prepared	73%	69%
	• Sufficiently prepared	23%	28%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	4%	3%
	• Not at all prepared	1%	0%
Q 25.	Did you have limited English (LEP-ELL) students in your classroom? A student is considered LEP-ELL if he or she has a primary language other than English and whose English language skills are such that the student has difficulty performing ordinary coursework in English, as determined by Texas Education Code (TEC) §29.052.	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Yes	68%	73%
	• No	32%	27%
Q 26.	To what extent were you prepared to provide appropriate ways for LEP-ELL students to demonstrate their learning?	449 responses	15848 responses
	• Well prepared	69%	61%
	• Sufficiently prepared	27%	35%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	3%	4%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 27.	To what extent were you prepared to understand and adhere to federal and state laws that govern education services for LEP-ELL students?	449 responses	15848 responses
	• Well prepared	68%	62%
	• Sufficiently prepared	29%	34%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	2%	4%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 28.	To what extent were you prepared to comply with district and campus policies and procedures regarding LEP-ELL students?	449 responses	15848 responses
	• Well prepared	68%	65%
	• Sufficiently prepared	29%	32%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	2%	3%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 29.	To what extent were you prepared to support LEP-ELL students in mastering the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS), including the English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS)?	449 responses	15848 responses
	• Well prepared	69%	61%
	• Sufficiently prepared	27%	34%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	3%	4%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 30.	To what extent were you prepared to model and teach the forms and functions of academic English in content areas?	449 responses	15848 responses
	• Well prepared	69%	64%
	• Sufficiently prepared	28%	32%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	2%	3%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 31.	To what extent were you prepared to use technology available on the campus to integrate curriculum TEKS and Technology Applications TEKS to support student learning?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	74%	71%
	• Sufficiently prepared	25%	26%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	2%	2%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 32.	To what extent were you prepared to provide technology based classroom learning opportunities that allow students to interact with real-time and/or online content?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	69%	67%
	• Sufficiently prepared	29%	29%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	2%	4%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 33.	To what extent were you prepared to teach students developmentally appropriate technology skills?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	70%	66%
	• Sufficiently prepared	27%	31%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	3%	3%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 34.	To what extent were you prepared to use technology to make learning more active and engaging for students?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	79%	73%
	• Sufficiently prepared	20%	25%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	1%	2%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	0%
Q 35.	To what extent were you prepared to use available technology to collect, manage, and analyze student data using software programs (such as Excel or an electronic grade book)?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	58%	62%
	• Sufficiently prepared	32%	32%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	10%	5%
	• Not at all prepared	1%	1%
Q 36.	To what extent were you prepared to use available technology to collect, manage, and analyze data from multiple sources in order to interpret learning results for students?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	56%	60%
	• Sufficiently prepared	33%	34%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	11%	6%
	• Not at all prepared	1%	1%
Q 37.	To what extent were you prepared to use available technology to document student learning to determine when an intervention is necessary and appropriate?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	56%	59%
	• Sufficiently prepared	33%	34%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	10%	6%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	1%
Q 38.	To what extent were you prepared to use available technology to collect and manage formative assessment data to guide instruction?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Well prepared	58%	61%
	• Sufficiently prepared	33%	34%
	• Not sufficiently prepared	8%	5%
	• Not at all prepared	0%	1%
Q 39.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor share with you the expectations for your performance in the classroom before each observation?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	78%	74%
	• Frequently.	17%	18%
	• Occasionally.	4%	6%
	• Rarely.	1%	2%
Q 40.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor base observation feedback on the expectations for your performance in the classroom?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	87%	81%
	• Frequently.	11%	15%
	• Occasionally.	2%	3%
	• Rarely.	0%	1%
Q 41.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor provide you with a written report or checklist of his/her observation of your performance in the classroom?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	85%	84%
	• Frequently.	10%	12%
	• Occasionally.	3%	3%
	• Rarely.	1%	1%
Q 42.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor offer feedback on your performance in the classroom within one week of each observation?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	86%	86%
	• Frequently.	11%	11%
	• Occasionally.	2%	2%
	• Rarely.	1%	1%
Q 43.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor include specific strategies that address your strengths and weaknesses in his/her feedback about your performance in the classroom?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	84%	80%
	• Frequently.	12%	15%
	• Occasionally.	3%	4%
	• Rarely.	1%	1%
Q 44.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor hold an interactive conference with you after each observation?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	88%	79%
	• Frequently.	10%	14%
	• Occasionally.	2%	5%
	• Rarely.	0%	2%
Q 45.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor help you solve problems, make specific recommendations for improvement or act as your advocate?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	81%	75%
	• Frequently.	13%	17%
	• Occasionally.	5%	6%
	• Rarely.	1%	2%
Q 46.	Did you ever communicate with your Field Supervisor by email, text, or telephone call?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Yes	100%	99%
	• No	0%	1%
Q 47.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor respond to your communications, for example email, text, or telephone call, within two school/business days?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	84%	85%
	• Frequently.	13%	12%
	• Occasionally.	2%	2%
	• Rarely.	0%	1%
Q 48.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor offer you opportunities to reflect on your performance in the classroom?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	87%	80%
	• Frequently.	11%	16%
	• Occasionally.	2%	4%
	• Rarely.	0%	1%
Q 49.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor provide multiple means for you to communicate with him/her, such as email, telephone, texting, videoconferencing, or face-to-face interaction?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	89%	84%
	• Frequently.	9%	12%
	• Occasionally.	1%	3%
	• Rarely.	1%	1%
Q 50.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor ask you for ways he/she can support you?	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Always/Almost Always.	79%	77%
	• Frequently.	15%	16%
	• Occasionally.	4%	5%
	• Rarely.	1%	2%
Q 51.	The Field Supervisor FORMALLY observed me teaching a minimum of three times.	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Yes	99%	99%
	• No	1%	1%
Q 52.	The Field Supervisor observed me teaching for a minimum of 45 minutes during at least three of my FORMAL observations.	657 responses	21748 responses
	• Yes	99%	99%
	• No	1%	1%
Q 53.	What is your overall evaluation of how well the educator preparation program prepared you? Select the one statement that most closely matches your current overall perspective on the program.	657 responses	21748 responses
	• I was well prepared by the program for the first year of teaching.	82%	74%
	• I was sufficiently prepared by the program for the first year of teaching.	17%	25%
	• I was not sufficiently prepared by the program for the first year of teaching.	1%	1%
	• I was not at all prepared by the program for the first year of teaching.	0%	0%